arXiv:0808.2547v2 [math.SP] 4 Sep 2008

WEYL-TITCHMARSH FUNCTIONS OF VECTOR-VALUED STURM-LIOUVILLE OPERATORS ON THE UNIT INTERVAL

DMITRY CHELKAK AND EVGENY KOROTYAEV

Abstract. The matrix-valued Weyl-Titchmarsh functions $M(\lambda)$ of vector-valued Sturm-Liouville operators on the unit interval with the Dirichlet boundary conditions are considered. The collection of the eigenvalues (i.e., poles of $M(\lambda)$) and the residues of $M(\lambda)$ is called the spectral data of the operator. The complete characterization of spectral data (or, equivalently, $N\times N$ Weyl-Titchmarsh functions) corresponding to $N\times N$ self-adjoint square-integrable matrix-valued potentials is given, if all N eigenvalues of the averaged potential are distinct.

1. Introduction

We start with a short description of known results in the inverse spectral theory for *scalar* Strum-Liouville operators on a *finite* interval. We recall only some important steps mostly focusing on the *characterization* problem, i.e., the complete description of spectral data that correspond to some fixed class of potentials. More information about different approaches to inverse spectral problems can be found in the monographs [Mar86], [Lev87], [PT87], [FY01], survey [Ges07] and references therein.

The inverse spectral theory goes back to the seminal paper [Bo46] (see also [Le49]). Borg showed that spectra of two Sturm-Liouville problems $-y''+q(x)y=\lambda y$, $x\in[0,1]$, with the same boundary conditions at 1 but different boundary conditions at 0, determine the potential $q(x)$ and the boundary conditions uniquely. Later on, Marchenko [Mar50] proved that the so-called spectral function $\rho(\lambda)$ (or, equivalently, the Weyl-Titchmarsh function $m(\lambda)$) determines the potential uniquely. Note that the spectral function is piecewise-linear outside the spectrum $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$ and its jump at λ_n is equal to the so-called *normalizing constant* $[\alpha_n(q)]^{-1}$ given by (1.3). At the same time, a different approach to this problem was developed by Krein [Kr51], [Kr53], [Kr54].

An important result was obtained by Gel'fand and Levitan [GL51]. They gave an effective method to reconstruct the potential q from its spectral function. More precisely, they derived an integral equation and expressed $q(x)$ explicitly in terms of the solution of this equation. At that time, there was some gap between necessary and sufficient conditions for the spectral functions corresponding to fixed classes of $q(x)$.

Date: September 4, 2008.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34A55; (34B24; 47E05).

Key words and phrases. inverse problem, matrix potentials, M-function, Sturm-Liouville operators.

Dept. of Math. Analysis, St. Petersburg State University. Universitetskij pr. 28, Staryj Petergof, 198504 St. Petersburg, Russia. Partially supported by the Foundation of the President of the Russian Federation (grants no. MK-4306.2008.1 and NSh-2409.2008.1).

School of Math., Cardiff University. Senghennydd Road, CF24 4AG Cardiff, Wales, UK. Partially supported by EPSRC grant EP/D054621.

E-mail addresses: `delta4@math.spbu.ru`, `KorotyaevE@cf.ac.uk`.

Some characterization of spectral data for q such that $q^{(m)} \in \mathcal{L}^1(0,1)$ was derived by Levitan and Gasymov [LG64] for all $m = 0, 1, 2, ...$ Also, they gave the solution of the characterization problem in the case $q'' \in \mathcal{L}^2(0,1)$. Marchenko and Ostrovski [MO75] obtained a sharpening of this result. Namely, for all $m = 0, 1, 2, ..$ they gave the complete solution of the inverse problem in terms of two spectra, if $q^{(m)} \in \mathcal{L}^2(0,1)$.

Trubowitz and co-authors (Isaacson [IT83], McKean [IMT84], Dahlberg [DT84], Pöschel [PT87]) suggested another approach. It is based on the analytic properties of the mapping {potentials} $\mapsto$ {spectral data} and the explicit transforms corresponding to the change of only a *finite* number of spectral parameters $(\lambda_n(q), \nu_n(q))_{n=1}^{+\infty}$. Their norming constants $\nu_n(q)$ differ slightly from the normalizing constants (1.3), but the characterizations are equivalent (see Appendix B). Also, this approach was applied to other scalar inverse problems with purely discrete spectrum (singular Sturm-Liouville operator on $[0,1]$ [GR88]; perturbed harmonic oscillator [MT81], [CKK04], [CK07]).

Thus, nowadays the inverse spectral theory for the *scalar* Sturm-Liouville operators is well understood. By contrast, until recently only some particular results were known for *vector-valued operators.*

In our paper we consider the inverse problem for the self-adjoint operators

$$\mathbf{L}\psi = -\psi'' + V(x)\psi, \qquad \psi(0) = \psi(1) = 0, \quad \psi \in \mathcal{L}^2([0,1]; \mathbb{C}^N), \tag{1.1}$$

where $V = V^* \in \mathcal{L}^2([0,1]; \mathbb{C}^{N\times N})$ is a self-adjoint $N \times N$ matrix-valued potential. Denote by $\varphi(x) = \varphi(x, \lambda, V)$ and $\chi(x) = \chi(x, \lambda, V)$ the matrix-valued solutions of the equation $-\psi'' + V(x)\psi = \lambda\psi$ such that

$$\varphi(0) = \chi(1) = 0, \qquad \varphi'(0) = -\chi'(1) = I_N,$$

here and below I_N denotes the identity $N \times N$ matrix. Note that

$$\chi(x, \lambda, V) = \varphi(1-x, \lambda, V^\sharp), \qquad \text{where} \qquad V^\sharp(x) \equiv V(1-x), \; x \in [0,1].$$

The *matrix-valued Weyl-Titchmarsh function* for this problem is given by

$$M(\lambda) = M(\lambda, V) = [\chi'\chi^{-1}](0, \lambda, V) = [M(\overline{\lambda})]^*, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{1.2}$$

In the scalar case, the Weyl-Titchmarsh function $m(\lambda, q)$ is a meromorphic function having simple poles at Dirichlet eigenvalues $\lambda_n(q)$ and

$$\operatorname*{res}_{\lambda=\lambda_n(q)} m(\lambda, q) = -[\alpha_n(q)]^{-1} = -\left[\int_0^1 |\varphi(x, \lambda_n, q)|^2 dx\right]^{-1}. \tag{1.3}$$

So, the sharp characterization of all *scalar* Weyl-Titchmarsh functions (or, equivalently, all spectral data $(\lambda_n(q), \alpha_n(q))_{n=1}^{+\infty}$) that correspond to potentials $q \in \mathcal{L}^2(0,1)$ is available due to [MO75] or [PT87] (see also Appendix B). Namely, the necessary and sufficient conditions are

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \lambda_3 < ..., \qquad && (\lambda_n - \pi^2 n^2 - q_0)_{n=1}^{+\infty} \in \ell^2 \quad \text{for some} \;\; q_0 \in \mathbb{R} \\ &\qquad \text{and} && (\pi n \cdot (2\pi^2 n^2 \alpha_n(q) - 1))_{n=1}^{+\infty} \in \ell^2. \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

In the vector-valued case, it is known that the Weyl-Titchmarsh function determines V uniquely (see [Mal05] or [Yur06]). Some other miscellaneous results concerning vector-valued Schrödinger operators were obtained in [Car02], [CK06a], [ChSh97], [CHGL00], [JL98a], [JL98b], [SP04], [Sh01]. Nevertheless, to the best of our knowledge, no solutions of the *characterization* problems have been available until recently.

Following [CK06b], we denote by $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < .. < \lambda_\alpha < ...$ the *eigenvalues* of $\mathbf{L}$ and by $k_\alpha = \dim \mathbf{E}_\alpha \in [1, N]$ their *multiplicities*, where $\mathbf{E}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{L}^2([0,1];\mathbb{C}^N)$ is the eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_α. Then (see details in [CK06b]), the Weyl-Titchmarsh function $M(\lambda)$ is meromorphic outside the Dirichlet spectrum $\sigma(V) = \{\lambda_\alpha(V)\}_{\alpha\geqslant 1}$ and

$$\operatorname*{res}_{\lambda=\lambda_\alpha} M(\lambda) = -B_\alpha = -p_\alpha^* g_\alpha^{-1} p_\alpha,$$

where

$$p_\alpha : \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathcal{E}_\alpha = \operatorname{Ker}\varphi(1,\lambda_\alpha,V) = \bigl\{ h \in \mathbb{C}^N : \psi_{\alpha;h} = \varphi(\cdot,\lambda_\alpha,V)h \in \mathbf{E}_\alpha \bigr\}$$

is the orthogonal *projector* and

$$g_\alpha = p_\alpha \biggl[\int_0^1 [\varphi^*\varphi](x,\lambda_\alpha,V)dx \biggr] p_\alpha^* = g_\alpha^* > 0$$

is the self-adjoint operator (or the *normalizing matrix*) acting in $\mathcal{E}_\alpha$. We also use the notation $P_\alpha = p_\alpha^* p_\alpha : \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathcal{E}_\alpha \subset \mathbb{C}^N$. Note that for all $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{E}_\alpha$ one has

$$\langle \psi_{\alpha;h_1}, \psi_{\alpha;h_2} \rangle_{\mathcal{L}^2([0,1];\mathbb{C}^N)} = \int_0^1 h_2^*[\varphi^*\varphi](x,\lambda_\alpha,V)h_1\,dx = \langle h_1, g_\alpha h_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_\alpha}.$$

We call $(\lambda_\alpha, P_\alpha, g_\alpha)_{\alpha=1}^{+\infty}$ the *spectral data* of the operator $\mathbf{L}$. If $k_\alpha = 1$, then g_α acts in the one-dimensional space $\mathcal{E}_\alpha$, so we consider it as a positive real number (and call it, as in the scalar case, the *normalizing constant*). The spectral data determine (e.g., see Proposition 2.6) the function $M(\lambda)$, and so the potential $V(x)$, uniquely. The main result of our paper is the following solution of the *characterization* problem.

Let $e_1^0, e_2^0, .., e_N^0$ be the standard coordinate basis and $P_j^0 = \langle \cdot, e_j^0 \rangle e_j^0$ be the coordinate projectors in $\mathbb{C}^N$. We denote the Euclidian norm of vectors $h \in \mathbb{C}^N$ and the operator norm of matrices $A \in \mathbb{C}^{N\times N}$ by $|h|$ and $|A|$, respectively.

Theorem 1.1 (**Characterization of spectral data).** *For all $v_1^0 < v_2^0 < .. < v_n^0$ the mapping $V \mapsto (\lambda_\alpha, P_\alpha, g_\alpha)_{\alpha=1}^{+\infty}$ is a bijection between the space of potentials*

$$V = V^* \in \mathcal{L}^2([0,1];\mathbb{C}^{N\times N}) \quad \textit{such that} \quad \int_0^1 V(x)dx = \operatorname{diag}\{v_1^0, v_2^0, .., v_N^0\} \tag{1.5}$$

and the class of spectral data satisfying the following conditions (A)-(C):

(A) *The spectrum is asymptotically simple, i.e., there exist $\alpha^\diamond \geqslant 0$, $n^\diamond \geqslant 1$ such that*

$$k_1^\diamond + k_2^\diamond + .. + k_{\alpha^\diamond}^\diamond = N(n^\diamond - 1) \quad \textit{and} \quad k_\alpha^\diamond = 1 \quad \textit{for all } \alpha \geqslant \alpha^\diamond + 1.$$

It allows us to define the double-indexing (n, j), $n \geqslant n^\diamond$, $j = 1, 2, .., N$, instead of $\alpha > \alpha^\diamond$. Namely, we set $\lambda_{n,j} = \lambda_{\alpha^\diamond + N(n-n^\diamond)+j}$, $P_{n,j} = P_{\alpha^\diamond + N(n-n^\diamond)+j}$ and so on for $n \geqslant n^\diamond$.

(B) *The following hold true for all $j = 1, 2, .., N$:*

$$\begin{aligned} &(\lambda_{n,j} - \pi^2 n^2 - v_j^0)_{n=n^\diamond}^{+\infty} \in \ell^2, && (\pi n \cdot (2\pi^2 n^2 g_{n,j} - 1))_{n=n^\diamond}^{+\infty} \in \ell^2, \\ &(|P_{n,j} - P_j^0|)_{n=n^\diamond}^{+\infty} \in \ell^2 \qquad \textit{and} && (\pi n \cdot |\textstyle\sum_{j=1}^N P_{n,j} - I_N|)_{n=n^\diamond}^{+\infty} \in \ell^2. \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

(C) *The collection $(\lambda_\alpha\,; P_\alpha)_{\alpha=1}^{+\infty}$ satisfies the following property:*

Let $\xi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^N$ be an entire vector-valued function. If $P_\alpha \xi(\lambda_\alpha) = 0$ for all $\alpha \geqslant 1$, $\xi(\lambda) = O(e^{|\operatorname{Im}\sqrt{\lambda}|})$ as $|\lambda| \to \infty$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, then $\xi(\lambda) \equiv 0$.

Remark 1.2. *Let* $V = V^* \in \mathcal{L}^2([0,1];\mathbb{C}^{N\times N})$. *Applying some unitary transform in* $\mathbb{C}^N$, *one may always assume that* $\int_0^1 V(x)dx = \operatorname{diag}\{v_1^0, v_2^0, .., v_N^0\}$, $v_1^0 \leqslant v_2^0 \leqslant .. \leqslant v_N^0$. *Our assumption (1.5) states that all the* v_j^0 *are distinct. It simplifies the analysis, since otherwise infinitely many eigenvalues* λ_α *can be multiple. In particular, in the general case, one has to introduce some other parameters instead of* $(P_{n,j}, g_{n,j})$.

We give also a simple reformulation of the algebraic restriction (C) (note that it doesn't depend on the shift of the spectrum).

Proposition 1.3 (reformulation of (C)). *Let* $\lambda_\alpha > 0$ *for all* $\alpha \geqslant 1$ *and* $P_\alpha = h_\alpha h_\alpha^*$, *where* $h_\alpha = (h_\alpha^{(1)}; ..; h_\alpha^{(k_\alpha)})$ *consists of* k_α *orthonormal vectors* $h_\alpha^{(j)} \in \mathbb{C}^N$. *Then the condition (C) is equivalent to the following:*

> *Vector-valued functions* $e^{\pm i\sqrt{\lambda_\alpha}t} h_\alpha^{(j)}$, $j = 1, .., k_\alpha$, $\alpha \geqslant 1$, *together with the constant vectors* $e_1^0, .., e_N^0$ *span* $\mathcal{L}^2([-1,1];\mathbb{C}^N)$.

Remark 1.4. *In the scalar case, (C) always holds true due to the well known result of Paley and Wiener (e.g., see* [Le40] *p.47). In the vector-valued case, this condition is not trivial. Some discussion of (C) is given in Appendix A (see Propositions A.3, A.4). Note that, if* $P_{n,j} = P_j^0$ *for all* $n \geqslant m+1$ *and* $j = 1, 2, .., N$, *then one can reformulate (C) as the condition* $\det \mathcal{T} \neq 0$ *for some* $Nm \times Nm$ *matrix* $\mathcal{T}$ *(see Proposition A.5).*

As usual, Theorem 1.1 consists of several different parts:
(i) Uniqueness Theorem (spectral data determine the potential uniquely);
(ii) Direct Problem (spectral data constructed by a given potential satisfy (A)-(C));
(iii) Surjection (any data satisfying (A)-(C) are spectral data of some potential).

We do not discuss the uniqueness theorem (i) in our paper and refer to [Mal05], [Yur06] (or [CK06b]) for this fact. The direct problem (ii) is considered in Sect. 2. Note that the spectrum is asymptotically simple due to our assumption $v_1^0 < v_2^0 < .. < v_N^0$ (see also Remark 1.2). As in the scalar case, the Fourier coefficients of V appear as leading terms in the asymptotics of the spectral data (Propositions 2.1 and 2.5). We also give the explicit expression for $M(\lambda)$ in terms of the spectral data in Sect. 2.4.

The main part of our paper (Sect. 3) is devoted to the surjection (iii). The general strategy of the proof is described in detail in Sect. 3.1. Here we give only a short sketch of our arguments. We start with some admissible data $(\lambda_\alpha^\diamond, P_\alpha^\dagger, g_\alpha^\dagger)_{\alpha\geqslant 1}$ satisfying (A)–(C). Using the well known characterization (1.4) for the scalar case, we construct some special *diagonal* potential $V^\diamond$ such that $\sigma(V^\diamond) = \{\lambda_\alpha^\diamond\}_{\alpha\geqslant 1}$.

In Sect. 3.2–3.4 we introduce some essential *modification* of the spectral data in order (a) to control the splitting of multiple eigenvalues and (b) to join together all asymptotics in (1.6). We prove that the mapping $\Phi : \{\text{potentials}\} \mapsto \{\text{modified spectral data}\}$ is real-analytic[1] near $V^\diamond$. The main purpose of involving analyticity arguments here is the well known equivalence of the analyticity and the weak-analyticity[2] for mappings between *complex* Hilbert spaces. Thus, we immediately derive the smoothness of the whole mapping Φ from the smoothness of its components.

---

[1] The mapping $F : U \to H^{(2)}$ between *real* Hilbert spaces $U \subset H^{(1)}$ and $H^{(2)}$ is *real-analytic* iff it has continuation $F_{\mathbb{C}} : U_{\mathbb{C}} \to H_{\mathbb{C}}^{(2)}$ into some *complex* neighborhood $U \subset U_{\mathbb{C}} \subset H_{\mathbb{C}}^{(1)}$ that is *differentiable* as the mapping between the *complexifications* $H_{\mathbb{C}}^{(1)}$, $H_{\mathbb{C}}^{(2)}$ of the real spaces $H^{(1)}$, $H^{(2)}$.

[2] In Hilbert spaces, the weak-analyticity is equivalent to the analyticity of particular coordinates and the local boundedness, see nice Appendix A in [PT87] or the monograph [Di99] for details.

In Sect. 3.5, 3.6 we use the Fredholm Alternative in order to show that Φ is a *local isomorphism* near $V^\diamond$ (i.e., $d_{V^\diamond}\Phi$ is invertible). Thus, all additional spectral data sufficiently close to $(P_\alpha(V^\diamond), g_\alpha(V^\diamond))_{\alpha\geqslant 1}$ can be obtained from potentials having the same spectrum $\{\lambda_\alpha^\diamond\}_{\alpha\geqslant 1}$ as $V^\diamond$. In particular, if $\alpha^\bullet$ is large enough, then there exists $V^\bullet$ such that $\sigma(V^\bullet) = \{\lambda_\alpha^\diamond\}_{\alpha\geqslant 1}$ and $(P_\alpha(V^\bullet), g_\alpha(V^\bullet)) = (P_\alpha^\dagger, g_\alpha^\dagger)$ for all $\alpha > \alpha^\bullet$.

We complete the proof in Sect. 3.7 using the explicit isospectral transforms constructed in our recent paper [CK06b]. As usual in Trubowitz's approach, we need to change only some *finite* number $\alpha^\bullet$ of additional spectral data (P_α, g_α). Note that the condition (C) and the restrictions introduced in [CK06b] in terms of "forbidden" subspaces are equivalent (see Proposition A.4). Thus, one can change any finite number of projectors P_α in an arbitrary way that doesn't violate (C) (see details in Sect. 3.7).

Note that we do not present any explicit *reconstruction procedure* for the potential, if there are *infinitely* many perturbed spectral data. The natural idea is to use some passage to the limit changing the residues $B_\alpha(V^\diamond) \mapsto B_\alpha^\dagger$, $\alpha = 1, 2, ..,$ of the Weyl-Titchmarsh function step by step. Each step is doable due to isospectral transforms constructed in [CK06b] but we do not prove the *convergence* of this procedure.

We finish the introduction with several remarks concerning some possible further developments of our approach to this inverse problem.

Remark 1.5. The isospectral transforms constructed in [CK06b] generalize the scalar isospectral flows (see [PT87]) and some specific class of isospectral transforms given in [JL98a]. Nevertheless, to the best of our knowledge, no analogues of the explicit flows changing the eigenvalues (see [PT87]) are known in the vector-valued case. We think that such a construction would simplify the inverse theory a lot.

Remark 1.6. One may be interested in the characterization for other parameters, e.g. the spectra of several boundary problems (similarly to the original paper [Bo46]). Almost nothing is known here. Yurko [Yur06] proved that N^2+1 spectra determine the potential uniquely. On the other hand, the naive count says that this inverse problem is overdetermined. Note that, in the spirit of Appendix B, this question can be considered as a parametrization problem for some class of matrix-valued functions.

Remark 1.7. Consider the Schrödinger operator $Hy = -y'' + Vy$ on $\mathbb{R}$ with a $N\times N$ potential $V = V^*$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}}(1+|x|)|V(x)|dx < +\infty$ (e.g., see [Ol85]). It has a finite number of eigenvalues $\lambda_1 < .. < \lambda_m < 0$ with the multiplicities $k_\alpha = \dim \mathbf{E}_\alpha$, where $\mathbf{E}_\alpha$ is the eigenspace corresponding to λ_α. In order to solve the inverse scattering problem completely, one needs to characterize the residues of the transmission coefficient at λ_α. Unfortunately, we do not know any results in this direction. For the scattering problem on the half-line a characterization was given in [AM63] but it involves *implicit* conditions for spectral data (much more complicated than our condition (C)).

Remark 1.8. In the scalar case, the Dirichlet eigenvalues and the norming constants are canonically conjugate variables for the Korteweg-de Vries equation with periodic initial conditions (see [FM76]). Similarly, the (negative) eigenvalues and the corresponding normalizing constants of the (scalar) Schrödinger operator $-y'' + q(x)y$ on $\mathbb{R}$ with a decreasing potential $q(x)$ are canonically conjugate variables for the Korteweg-de Vries equation (see [ZF71]). The vector-valued case is more complicated (see [CD76], [CD77], [Ol85]). We hope that our results could be useful from this point of view.

Acknowledgements. Some parts of this paper were written at Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach, Institut fuẅ Mathematik Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin and Section de Mathématiques Université de Genève. The authors are grateful to the Institutes for the hospitality. The stay of the authors at the MFO was provided by the Oberwolfach-Leibniz Fellowship of the first author. The authors are grateful to the MFO for its stimulating atmosphere.

2. Direct problem

2.1. Asymptotics of the eigenvalues and the individual projectors.

Denote by

$$\widehat{V}^{(0)}=\int_0^1 V(t)dt,\quad \widehat{V}^{(cn)}=\int_0^1 V(t)\cos 2\pi nt\,dt\quad\text{and}\quad \widehat{V}^{(sn)}=\int_0^1 V(t)\sin 2\pi nt\,dt$$

the (matrix) Fourier coefficients of V. We start with some elementary asymptotics of the fundamental solutions $\varphi(x,\lambda,V)$ and $\chi(x,\lambda,V)=\varphi(1-x,\lambda,V^\sharp)$ for λ close to π^2n^2. It's well known that

$$\varphi(x,z^2,V)=\frac{\sin zx}{z}\,I_N+\frac{1}{z^2}\int_0^x \sin z(x-t)\cdot V(t)\sin zt\,dt+O\biggl(\frac{e^{|\operatorname{Im} z|x}}{|z|^3}\biggr).\tag{2.1}$$

Here and below constants in O–type estimates depend on the potential. In this section we do not pay the attention to the nature of this dependence. Let

$$z^2=\pi^2n^2+\mu,\quad \mu=O(1),\qquad\text{so}\qquad z=\pi n+\frac{\mu}{2\pi n}+O\biggl(\frac{1}{n^3}\biggr).$$

Then,

$$\varphi(x,z^2,V)=\frac{\sin zx}{\pi n}\,I_N+\frac{1}{\pi^2n^2}\int_0^x \sin \pi n(x-t)\cdot V(t)\sin \pi nt\,dt+O\biggl(\frac{1}{n^3}\biggr).$$

In particular,

$$\varphi(1,z^2,V)=\frac{(-1)^n}{2\pi^2n^2}\biggl[\mu I_N-\widehat{V}^{(0)}+\widehat{V}^{(cn)}+O\biggl(\frac{1}{n}\biggr)\biggr].\tag{2.2}$$

Proposition 2.1. *Let $V=V^*\in\mathcal{L}^2([0,1];\mathbb{C}^{N\times N})$ satisfy $\widehat{V}^{(0)}=\operatorname{diag}\{v_1^0,v_2^0,..,v_N^0\}$ with $v_1^0<v_2^0<..<v_N^0$. Then,*

(i) there exists $n^\diamond=n^\diamond(V)\geqslant\|V\|$ such that (a) there are exactly $N(n^\diamond-1)$ eigenvalues counting with multiplicities in the interval $(-\pi^2(n^\diamond-1)^2-3\|V\|;\pi^2(n^\diamond-1)^2+3\|V\|)$, (b) for each $n\geqslant n^\diamond$ there are exactly N simple eigenvalues $\lambda_{n,1},\lambda_{n,2},..,\lambda_{n,N}$ in the interval $(\pi^2n^2-3\|V\|;\pi^2n^2+3\|V\|)$, (c) there are no other eigenvalues;

(ii) for each $j=1,2,..,N$ the following asymptotics hold true as $n\to\infty$:

$$\lambda_{n,j}=\pi^2n^2+v_j^0-\widehat{v}_{jj}^{(cn)}+O(\delta_n(V)),\quad\text{where}\quad \delta_n(V)=|\widehat{V}^{(cn)}|^2+\frac{1}{n};$$

(iii) if $p_{n,j}=\langle\cdot,h_{n,j}\rangle h_{n,j}$, where $h_{n,j}\in\mathbb{C}^N$ is such that $|h_{n,j}|=1$, $\langle h_{n,j},e_j^0\rangle>0$, then the asymptotics

$$h_{n,j}=\begin{pmatrix}\dfrac{\widehat{v}_{1,j}^{(cn)}}{v_1^0-v_j^0} & .. & \dfrac{\widehat{v}_{j-1,j}^{(cn)}}{v_{j-1}^0-v_j^0} & 1 & \dfrac{\widehat{v}_{j+1,j}^{(cn)}}{v_{j+1}^0-v_j^0} & .. & \dfrac{\widehat{v}_{N,j}^{(cn)}}{v_N^0-v_j^0}\end{pmatrix}^\top+O(\delta_n(V))$$

hold true for each $j=1,2,..,N$ as $n\to\infty$.

Note that the condition $n^\diamond(V) \geqslant \|V\|$ guarantees that the mentioned intervals do not intersect each other. We need the following simple matrix version of Rouche's Theorem:

Lemma 2.2. *Let* $F, G : \overline{B(w,r)} \to \mathbb{C}$ *be analytic matrix-valued functions such that* $|G(\lambda)| \cdot |F^{-1}(\lambda)| < 1$ *for all* λ *on the boundary of some disc* $\overline{B(w,r)} \subset \mathbb{C}$*. Then, the scalar functions* $\det F$ *and* $\det(F{+}G)$ *have the same number of zeros in* $B(w,r)$ *counting with multiplicities.*

Proof. We check that $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}} \arg(\det F) = \Delta_{\mathcal{C}} \arg(\det(F{+}G))$, where $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}} \arg f$ denotes the increment of $\arg f$ along the circumference $\mathcal{C} = \{\lambda : |\lambda{-}w| = r\}$. Note that, if $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}$, then all eigenvalues of $I{+}G(\lambda)F^{-1}(\lambda)$ have strictly positive real parts since $|G(\lambda)F^{-1}(\lambda)| < 1$. Thus, the result follows from

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{C}} \arg(\det(F{+}G)) - \Delta_{\mathcal{C}} \arg(\det F) = \Delta_{\mathcal{C}} \arg(\det(I{+}GF^{-1})) = 0$$

and the classical argument principle. ☐

Proof of Proposition 2.1. (i) Firstly, we apply Lemma 2.2 to the function

$$\chi(0,\lambda,V) = \varphi(1,\lambda,V^\sharp) = F(\lambda) + G(\lambda)$$

in the discs

$$\{\lambda : |\lambda| < \pi^2 n^2 {+} 3\|V\|\} \quad \text{with} \quad F(\lambda) = \frac{\sin\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\, I_N$$

(see asymptotics (2.1)) and

$$\{\lambda : \lambda = \pi^2 n^2 + \mu,\ |\mu| < 3\|V\|\} \quad \text{with} \quad F(\lambda) = \frac{(-1)^n}{2\pi^2 n^2}\left((\lambda{-}\pi^2 n^2)I - \widehat{V}^{(0)}\right)$$

(see asymptotics (2.2)). Thus, if n is sufficiently large, then there are exactly Nn and N eigenvalues (zeros of $\det \chi(0,\cdot,V)$), respectively, inside these discs counting with multiplicities. Secondly, let

$$d = \tfrac{1}{2} \min\nolimits_{j=1,..,N-1} (v_{j+1}^0 - v_j^0).$$

If n is sufficiently large, then $|\widehat{V}^{(cn)}|$ is small and one can apply Lemma 2.2 (with the same functions F as above) in the discs

$$\{\lambda : \lambda = \pi^2 n^2 + v_j^0 + \mu,\ |\mu| < d\}, \qquad j = 1, 2, .., N.$$

So, if $n \geqslant n^\diamond$, then there are exactly one simple eigenvalue $\lambda_{n,j} = \pi^2 n^2 {+} \mu_{n,j}$ inside each small disc $B(\pi^2 n^2 {+} v_j^0, d)$ and there are no other eigenvalues.

(ii) Recall that $\det \varphi(1, \lambda_{n,j}, V) = 0$. Therefore, due to (2.2) and the standard perturbation theory, the self-adjoint matrix $\mu_{n,j} I_N - \widehat{V}^{(0)} + \widehat{V}^{(cn)}$ has at least one eigenvalue τ such that $|\tau| = O(n^{-1})$. On the other hand, the eigenvalues of the matrix $\widehat{V}^{(0)} - \widehat{V}^{(cn)}$ are $\tau_s = v_s^0 - \widehat{v}_{ss}^{(cn)} + O(|\widehat{V}^{(cn)}|^2)$, $s = 1, 2, .., N$. Hence, for some s,

$$\mu_{n,j} - v_s^0 + \widehat{v}_{ss}^{(cn)} = O(|\widehat{V}^{(cn)}|^2) + O(n^{-1}).$$

Due to (i), $s = j$.

(iii) Let $j=1$ for the simplicity and $d_k^0=v_1^0-v_k^0$, $k=2,..,N$. In view of (2.2) and (ii),

$$\varphi(1,\lambda_{n,1},V)=\frac{(-1)^n}{2\pi^2n^2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \widehat{v}_{12}^{(cn)} & ... & \widehat{v}_{1N}^{(cn)} \\ \widehat{v}_{21}^{(cn)} & d_2^0-\widehat{v}_{11}^{(cn)}+\widehat{v}_{22}^{(cn)} & ... & \widehat{v}_{2N}^{(cn)} \\ ... & ... & ... & ... \\ \widehat{v}_{N1}^{(cn)} & \widehat{v}_{2N}^{(cn)} & ... & d_N^0-\widehat{v}_{11}^{(cn)}+\widehat{v}_{NN}^{(cn)} \end{pmatrix}+O\Big(\frac{\delta_n(V)}{n^2}\Big).$$

Recall that $\varphi(1,\lambda_{n,1},V)h_{n,1}=0$. Thus,

$\langle\varphi(1,\lambda_{n,1},V)h_{n,1},e_k^0\rangle=0$ gives $\langle h_{n,1},e_k^0\rangle=O(|\widehat{V}^{(cn)}|+\delta_n(V))$ for all $k=2,..,N$,
$|h_{n,1}|=1$ gives $\langle h_{n,1},e_1^0\rangle=1+O(\delta_n(V))$

and, using $\langle\varphi(1,\lambda_{n,1},V)h_{n,1},e_k^0\rangle=0$ again, one obtains

$$\widehat{v}_{k1}^{(cn)}+d_k^0\cdot\langle h_{n,1},e_k^0\rangle+O(\delta_n(V))=0,\quad k=2,..,N.$$

Note that (ii), (iii) are standard results for the perturbation of a simple eigenvalue. □

2.2. **Asymptotics of the norming constants and the averaged projectors.** Due to Proposition 2.1, all sufficiently large eigenvalues are simple. Therefore, for all sufficiently large $n\geqslant n^\diamond$ and $j=1,2,..,N$ we may introduce the factorization

$$P_{n,j}=h_{n,j}h_{n,j}^*,\qquad B_{n,j}=-\operatorname*{res}_{\lambda=\lambda_{n,j}}M(\lambda)=h_{n,j}g_{n,j}^{-1}h_{n,j}^*=g_{n,j}^{-1}P_{n,j},$$

where $g_{n,j}>0$, $h_{n,j}\in\mathbb{C}^N$, $|h_{n,j}|=1$ and $\langle h_{n,j},e_j^0\rangle>0$. Denote

$$B_n=B_n(V)=\sum_{j=1}^N B_{n,j},\quad n\geqslant n^\diamond.$$

We begin with some simple reformulations of the needed asymptotics. Note that Proposition 2.1 gives

$$h_{n,j}=e_j^0+\ell^2\quad\text{for all}\quad j=1,2,..,N. \tag{2.3}$$

Here and below we write $a_n=b_n+\ell_k^2$ iff

$$(|a_n-b_n|)_{n=n^\diamond}^{+\infty}\in\ell_k^2=\left\{(c_n)_{n=n^\diamond}^{+\infty}:(n^kc_n)_{n=n^\diamond}^{+\infty}\in\ell^2\right\}.$$

Lemma 2.3. *The following asymptotics are equivalent:*

(i) $\sum_{j=1}^N P_{n,j}=I_N+\ell_1^2$;
(ii) $\langle h_{n,j},h_{n,k}\rangle=\ell_1^2$ *for all* $j\neq k,\ j,k=1,2,..,N.$

Proof. Introduce $N\times N$ matrices $h_n=(\ h_{n,1}\ ;\ h_{n,2}\ ;\ ...\ ;\ h_{n,N}\ )$. Then

$$h_nh_n^*=\sum_{j=1}^N h_{n,j}h_{n,j}^*=\sum_{j=1}^N P_{n,j}$$

and

$$h_n^*h_n=\left(h_{n,j}^*\,h_{n,k}\right)_{j,k=1}^N=\left(\langle h_{n,k},h_{n,j}\rangle\right)_{j,k=1}^N.$$

The matrices $h_nh_n^*$ and $h_n^*h_n$ are unitary equivalent (since $h_nh_n^*=u_n(h_n^*h_n)u_n^*$, where $h_n=u_ns_n$ is the polar decomposition of h_n). Thus, the asymptotics $h_nh_n^*=I_N+\ell_1^2$ are equivalent to the asymptotics $h_n^*h_n=I_N+\ell_1^2$ (note that $\langle h_{n,j},h_{n,j}\rangle=|h_{n,j}|^2=1$). □

Lemma 2.4. *The collection of asymptotics*

$$g_{n,j}^{-1}=2\pi^2n^2(1+\ell_1^2)\quad \textit{for all } j=1,2,..,N \quad \textit{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{N}P_{n,j}=I_N+\ell_1^2$$

is equivalent to

$$B_n=2\pi^2n^2(I+\ell_1^2).$$

Proof. As in Lemma 2.3, we set $H_n=\big(\ g_{n,1}^{-\frac12}h_{n,1}\ ;\ g_{n,2}^{-\frac12}h_{n,2}\ ;\ ...\ ;\ g_{n,N}^{-\frac12}h_{n,N}\ \big)$. Note that $B_n=H_nH_n^*$ while

$$H_n^*H_n=\Big(g_{n,j}^{-\frac12}g_{n,k}^{-\frac12}\cdot\langle h_{n,k},h_{n,j}\rangle\Big)_{j,k=1}^{N}.$$

Thus, as above, asymptotics $B_n=2\pi^2n^2(I_N+\ell_1^2)$ and $H_n^*H_n=2\pi^2n^2(I_N+\ell_1^2)$ are equivalent. The diagonal entries of $H_n^*H_n$ are $g_{n,j}^{-1}$, so $g_{n,j}^{-1}=2\pi^2n^2(1+\ell_1^2)$. Asymptotics of the non-diagonal entries give $\langle h_{n,k},h_{n,j}\rangle=2\pi^2n^2g_{n,j}^{1/2}g_{n,k}^{1/2}\cdot\ell_1^2=\ell_1^2$, $j\neq k$, which is equivalent to $\sum_{j=1}^{N}P_{n,j}=I_N+\ell_1^2$ due to Lemma 2.3. □

Note that, for sufficiently large n,

$$B_n(V)=-\sum_{j=1}^{N}\operatorname*{res}_{\lambda=\lambda_{n,j}}M(\lambda)=-\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{|\lambda-\pi^2n^2|=3\|V\|}M(\lambda)d\lambda.$$

This formula allows us to determine sharp asymptotics of $B_n(V)$. Moreover, it defines the analytic continuation of $B_n(V)$ for non-selfadjoint potentials.

Proposition 2.5. *The following asymptotics hold true*

$$B_n(V)=2\pi^2n^2\bigg[I_N-\frac{1}{\pi n}\,[\widehat{(1-t)V}]^{(sn)}+O\Big(\frac{1}{n^2}\Big)\bigg]$$

uniformly on bounded subsets of potentials $V\in\mathcal{L}^2([0,1];\mathbb{C}^{N\times N})$.

Proof. It's well known that

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(0,z^2,V)=\varphi(1,z^2,V^\sharp)&=\frac{\sin z}{z}\,I_N+\frac{1}{z^2}\int_0^1\sin z(1-t)\cdot V^\sharp(t)\sin zt\,dt\\&+\frac{1}{z^3}\int_0^1dx\sin z(1-x)\cdot V^\sharp(x)\int_0^x\sin z(x-t)\cdot V^\sharp(t)\sin zt\,dt+O\bigg(\frac{e^{|\operatorname{Im}z|}}{|z|^4}\bigg)\end{aligned}$$

uniformly on bounded subsets of V. Substituting $z^2=\pi^2n^2+\mu$, $|\mu|=3\|V\|=O(1)$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(0,\pi^2n^2+\mu,V)&=\frac{(-1)^n\mu}{2\pi^2n^2}\,I_N+\frac{1}{\pi^2n^2}\bigg[\int_0^1\sin\pi n(1-t)\sin\pi nt\cdot V^\sharp(t)dt\\&+\frac{\mu}{2\pi n}\int_0^1((1-t)\cos\pi n(1-t)\sin\pi nt+t\sin\pi n(1-t)\cos\pi nt)\cdot V^\sharp(t)dt\bigg]\\&+\frac{1}{\pi^3n^3}\int_0^1dx\int_0^x\sin\pi n(1-x)\sin\pi n(x-t)\sin\pi nt\cdot V^\sharp(x)V^\sharp(t)dt\\&+O\Big(\frac{1}{n^4}\Big)\ =\ \frac{(-1)^n}{2\pi^2n^2}\bigg[\mu K_n+L_n+O\Big(\frac{1}{n^2}\Big)\bigg],\end{aligned}$$

where the matrices

$$K_n = I_N + \frac{1}{2\pi n}\,[\widehat{(1-2t)V^\sharp}]^{(sn)} = I_N + \frac{1}{2\pi n}\,[\widehat{(1-2t)V}]^{(sn)},$$

$$L_n = -\widehat{V^\sharp}^{(0)} + \widehat{V^\sharp}^{(cn)} + O\Big(\frac{1}{n}\Big) = -\widehat{V}^{(0)} + \widehat{V}^{(cn)} + O\Big(\frac{1}{n}\Big)$$

do not depend on μ. Hence, if $\mu = 3\|V\|$ and n is sufficiently large, then

$$\frac{(-1)^n}{2\pi^2 n^2}\,[\chi(0,\pi^2n^2{+}\mu,V)]^{-1} = [\mu K_n + L_n]^{-1} + O\Big(\frac{1}{n^2}\Big).$$

Also, note that

$$\chi'(0,z^2,V) = -\varphi'(1,z^2,V^\sharp) = -\cos z\, I_N - \frac{1}{z}\int_0^1 \cos z(1{-}t)\cdot V^\sharp(t)\sin zt\,dt + O\Big(\frac{e^{|\operatorname{Im} z|}}{|z|^2}\Big).$$

Therefore,

$$\chi'(0,\pi^2n^2{+}\mu,V) = (-1)^{n-1}\Big[I_N - \frac{1}{2\pi n}\,\widehat{V}^{(sn)} + O\Big(\frac{1}{n^2}\Big)\Big]$$

and

$$-\frac{1}{2\pi^2n^2}\,[\chi'\chi^{-1}](0,\pi^2n^2{+}\mu,V) = \Big[I_N - \frac{1}{2\pi n}\,\widehat{V}^{(sn)}\Big]K_n^{-1}\,\big[\mu I_N + L_nK_n^{-1}\big]^{-1} + O\Big(\frac{1}{n^2}\Big).$$

Since $L_nK_n^{-1}$ doesn't depend on μ and $3\|V\| = |\mu| > |L_nK_n^{-1}|$ for sufficiently large n, we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{|\mu|=3\|V\|}\big[\mu I_N + L_nK_n^{-1}\big]^{-1}d\mu = I_N,$$

and so

$$\frac{1}{2\pi^2n^2}\,B_n = \Big[I_N - \frac{1}{2\pi n}\,\widehat{V}^{(sn)}\Big]K_n^{-1} + O\Big(\frac{1}{n^2}\Big) = I_N - \frac{1}{\pi n}\,[\widehat{(1-t)V}]^{(sn)} + O\Big(\frac{1}{n^2}\Big). \quad \square$$

2.3. **Proof of the direct part in Theorem 1.1.**

Proof. In fact, all needed asymptotics have been obtained in Sect. 2.1, 2.2. First, asymptotics of the eigenvalues and the individual projectors have been derived in Proposition 2.1. Second, asymptotics of the norming constants and the averaged projectors follows from Proposition 2.5 and Lemma 2.4. In order to prove (C) suppose that $\xi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^N$ is some entire vector-valued function such that $P_\alpha\xi(\lambda_\alpha) = 0$ for all $\alpha \geqslant 1$, $\xi(\lambda) = O(e^{|\operatorname{Im}\sqrt{\lambda}|})$ as $|\lambda| \to \infty$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Due to Lemma 2.2 [CK06b],

$$[\chi(0,\lambda,V)]^{-1} = [\varphi^*(1,\overline{\lambda},V)]^{-1} = (Z_\alpha^{-1} + O(\lambda{-}\lambda_\alpha))((\lambda{-}\lambda_\alpha)^{-1}P_\alpha + P_\alpha^\perp) \quad \text{as} \quad \lambda \to \lambda_\alpha$$

for some Z_α such that $\det Z_\alpha \neq 0$. Hence, the (vector-valued) function

$$\omega(\lambda) = [\chi(0,\lambda,V)]^{-1}\xi(\lambda)$$

is entire. It follows from (2.1) that

$$\omega(\lambda) = O(|\lambda|^{1/2}) \quad \text{as} \quad |\lambda| = \pi^2(n+\tfrac{1}{2})^2 \to \infty.$$

Thus, the Liouville Theorem gives $\omega(\lambda) \equiv \omega(0) = \omega_0 \in \mathbb{C}^N$ and $\xi(\lambda) \equiv \chi(0,\lambda,V)\omega_0$. If $\omega_0 \neq 0$, then this contradicts to $\xi \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ in view of asymptotics (2.1). $\square$

2.4. Explicit formula for the Weyl-Titchmarsh function.

In this Sect. we prove that the Weyl-Titchmarsh function $M(\lambda,V)$ can be written as the regularized sum over all its poles. In other words, we give the explicit formula for $M(\lambda,V)$ involving only the spectral data $\lambda_\alpha(V)$ and $B_\alpha(V)=-\operatorname{res}_{\lambda=\lambda_\alpha}M(\lambda,V)$. The proof is quite standard.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $V=V^*\in\mathcal{L}^2([0,1];\mathbb{C}^{N\times N})$ satisfy (1.5). Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & M(\lambda)+\sum_{j=1}^N\sqrt{\lambda-v_j^0}\,\cot\sqrt{\lambda-v_j^0}\cdot P_j^0 \\ & =\Bigg[\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha^\diamond}\frac{B_\alpha}{\lambda_\alpha-\lambda}-\sum_{n=1}^{n^\diamond-1}\sum_{j=1}^N\frac{2\pi^2n^2P_j^0}{\pi^2n^2+v_j^0-\lambda}\Bigg]+\sum_{n=n^\diamond}^{+\infty}\sum_{j=1}^N\Bigg[\frac{B_{n,j}}{\lambda_{n,j}-\lambda}-\frac{2\pi^2n^2P_j^0}{\pi^2n^2+v_j^0-\lambda}\Bigg]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

The series converge uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$ that do not contain poles.

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} D_{n,j}(\lambda) &= \frac{B_{n,j}}{\lambda_{n,j}-\lambda}-\frac{2\pi^2n^2P_j^0}{\pi^2n^2+v_j^0-\lambda} \\ &= \frac{B_{n,j}-2\pi^2n^2P_j^0}{\pi^2n^2-\lambda}-\frac{v_j^0(B_{n,j}-2\pi^2n^2P_j^0)}{(\pi^2n^2-\lambda)(\pi^2n^2+v_j^0-\lambda)}-\frac{(\lambda_{n,j}-\pi^2n^2-v_j^0)B_{n,j}}{(\lambda_{n,j}-\lambda)(\pi^2n^2+v_j^0-\lambda)}. \end{aligned}$$

Due to Proposition 2.5, for the first terms one has

$$D_n^{(1)}(\lambda)=\sum_{j=1}^N\frac{B_{n,j}-2\pi^2n^2P_j^0}{\pi^2n^2-\lambda}=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^NB_{n,j}-2\pi^2n^2I_N}{\pi^2n^2-\lambda}=\frac{n\cdot x_n}{\pi^2n^2-\lambda},$$

where $(x_n)_{n=n^\diamond}^{+\infty}\in\ell^2$. In particular, the series $\sum_{n=n^\diamond}^{+\infty}D_n^{(1)}(\lambda)$ uniformly converges outside singularities. Moreover,

$$\Bigg|\sum_{n=n^\diamond}^{+\infty}D_n^{(1)}(\lambda)\Bigg|\leqslant\frac{1}{\pi^2}\sum_{n=n^\diamond}^{+\infty}\frac{|x_n|}{|n-(m+\frac12)|}\to 0\quad\text{as }\ |\lambda|=\pi^2(m+\tfrac12)^2\to\infty.$$

Since $B_{n,j}=2\pi^2n^2(P_j^0+\ell^2)$ and $\lambda_{n,j}=\pi^2n^2+v_j^0+\ell^2$, the similar results hold true for the sums of second and third terms of $D_{n,j}(\lambda)$.

Thus, the right-hand side of (2.4) converges outside singularities and tends to zero as $|\lambda|=\pi^2n^2(m+\frac12)^2\to\infty$. It follows from the standard asymptotics of fundamental solutions that the left-hand side of (2.4) also tends to zero as $|\lambda|=\pi^2n^2(m+\frac12)^2\to\infty$. Since the residues of both sides at singularities coincide, (2.4) holds true for all λ. ☐

3. Inverse problem

3.1. Proof of the surjection part in Theorem 1.1. General strategy.

Step 1. Let some data $(\lambda_\alpha^\diamond,P_\alpha^\dagger,g_\alpha^\dagger)_{\alpha\geqslant1}$ satisfy conditions (A)–(C) in Theorem 1.1 and $B_\alpha^\dagger=P_\alpha^\dagger(g_\alpha^\dagger)^{-1}P_\alpha^\dagger$ (we use different superscript $\diamond$ for eigenvalues in order to make the further presentation more clear). Consider eigenvalues $\lambda_\alpha^\diamond$ (possibly multiple for several first α). One can split them into N *simple* series $\{\lambda_{n,j}^\diamond\}_{n=1}^\infty$, $j=1,2,..,N$ such that

$$\{\lambda_{n,1}^\diamond\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}\cup\{\lambda_{n,2}^\diamond\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}\cup..\cup\{\lambda_{n,N}^\diamond\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}=\{\lambda_\alpha^\diamond\}_{\alpha\geqslant1}$$

(counting with multiplicities) and $\lambda_{n,j}^\diamond=\pi^2n^2+v_j^0+\ell^2$ for all $j=1,2,..,N$.

Using the well known scalar inverse theory (see (1.4)) we construct some scalar potentials $v_{jj}^\diamond \in \mathcal{L}^2([0,1])$ such that

$$\int_0^1 v_{jj}^\diamond(t)dt = v_j^0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \sigma(v_{jj}^\diamond) = \{\lambda_{n,j}^\diamond\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}.$$

Note that the corresponding isospectral sets are infinite dimensional manifolds, so there are infinitely many choices for each $v_{jj}^\diamond$. For technical reasons, *we choose* $v_{jj}^\diamond$ *such that*

$$g_n^{-1}(v_{jj}^\diamond) = -\operatorname*{res}_{\lambda=\lambda_{n,j}} m(\lambda, v_{jj}^\diamond) = 2\pi^2 n^2 \quad \text{for all sufficiently large } n,$$

where $m(\lambda, v_{jj}^\diamond)$ is the Weyl-Titchmarsh function of the scalar potential $v_{jj}^\diamond$, and

$$\chi'(0,\lambda_\alpha, v_{jj}^\diamond) \neq 0, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad m(\lambda_\alpha, v_{jj}^\diamond) \neq 0, \quad \text{for all } \alpha \geqslant 1.$$

(one can always choose such $v_{jj}^\diamond$ in two steps: taking the scalar m-function with all residues equal to $-2\pi^2 n^2$ and changing the first residue slightly in order to guarantee $m(\lambda_\alpha, v_{jj}^\diamond) \neq 0$ for all $\alpha \geqslant 1$). Let

$$V^\diamond = \operatorname{diag}\{v_{11}^\diamond, v_{22}^\diamond, .., v_{NN}^\diamond\}.$$

Thus, $\sigma(V^\diamond) = \{\lambda_\alpha^\diamond\}_{\alpha\geqslant 1}$ counting with multiplicities. Denote

$$B_\alpha^\diamond = p_\alpha^\diamond (g_\alpha^\diamond)^{-1}(p_\alpha^\diamond)^* = B_\alpha(V^\diamond).$$

Since $V^\diamond$ is a diagonal potential, each subspace $\mathcal{E}_\alpha^\diamond$ is spanned by some (one, if α is large enough) standard coordinate vectors e_j^0 and all $P_\alpha^\diamond$ are coordinate projectors.

Step 2. Let

$$A_\alpha(V) = M^{-1}(\lambda_\alpha^\diamond) = [\chi(\chi')^{-1}](0,\lambda_\alpha^\diamond, V)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A_\alpha^{11} &= p_\alpha^\diamond A_\alpha (p_\alpha^\diamond)^* : \mathcal{E}_\alpha^\diamond \to \mathcal{E}_\alpha^\diamond, \qquad & A_\alpha^{12} &= p_\alpha^\diamond A_\alpha (q_\alpha^\diamond)^* : (\mathcal{E}_\alpha^\diamond)^\perp \to \mathcal{E}_\alpha^\diamond, \\ A_\alpha^{21} &= q_\alpha^\diamond A_\alpha (p_\alpha^\diamond)^* : \mathcal{E}_\alpha^\diamond \to (\mathcal{E}_\alpha^\diamond)^\perp, & A_\alpha^{22} &= q_\alpha^\diamond A_\alpha (q_\alpha^\diamond)^* : (\mathcal{E}_\alpha^\diamond)^\perp \to (\mathcal{E}_\alpha^\diamond)^\perp, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where $p_\alpha^\diamond : \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathcal{E}_\alpha^\diamond$, $q_\alpha^\diamond : \mathbb{C}^N \to (\mathcal{E}_\alpha^\diamond)^\perp$ are the coordinate projectors. Note that

$$A_\alpha^{11}(V^\diamond) = 0, \quad A_\alpha^{12}(V^\diamond) = 0, \quad A_\alpha^{21}(V^\diamond) = 0 \;\text{ and }\; \det A_\alpha^{22}(V^\diamond) \neq 0 \;\text{ for all } \alpha \geqslant 1$$

due to $p_\alpha^\diamond \chi(0,\lambda_\alpha^\diamond, V^\diamond) = [\varphi(1,\lambda_\alpha^\diamond, V^\diamond)(p_\alpha^\diamond)^*]^* = 0$ and $\det \chi'(0,\lambda_\alpha^\diamond, V^\diamond) \neq 0$.

In order to describe some neighborhood of the isospectral set $\operatorname{Iso}(V^\diamond)$ near $V^\diamond$, we introduce $k_\alpha \times k_\alpha$ matrices (more accurate, operators in the coordinate subspaces $\mathcal{E}_\alpha^\diamond$)

$$\widetilde{A}_\alpha(V) = \left[A_\alpha^{11} - A_\alpha^{12}(A_\alpha^{22})^{-1}A_\alpha^{21}\right](V), \quad \alpha \geqslant 1. \tag{3.2}$$

Then (see Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 3.3)

(i) all $\widetilde{A}_\alpha(V)$ are well-defined in some *complex* neighborhood $\mathcal{B}(V^\diamond, r^\diamond)$ of $V^\diamond$;

(ii) for $V = V^* \in \mathcal{B}(V^\diamond, r^\diamond)$ one has $\widetilde{A}_\alpha(V) = [\widetilde{A}_\alpha(V)]^*$ and the following holds:

$$\widetilde{A}_\alpha(V) = 0 \textit{ iff } \lambda_\alpha^\diamond \textit{ is an eigenvalue of } V \textit{ of multiplicity } k_\alpha.$$

Furthermore, for potentials V sufficiently close to $V^\diamond$, we set

$$\widetilde{B}_\alpha(V)=-\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{|\lambda-\lambda^\diamond_\alpha|=d^\diamond}M(\lambda,V)d\lambda,\quad \text{where}\quad d^\diamond=\tfrac12\min\nolimits_{\alpha\geqslant1}(\lambda^\diamond_{\alpha+1}-\lambda^\diamond_\alpha)>0.\quad(3.3)$$

If $k^\diamond_\alpha=1$, then $M(\lambda)$ has exactly one simple pole inside this contour, so $\widetilde{B}_\alpha(V)=B_\alpha(V)$. If $k^\diamond_\alpha>1$, we do not know precisely how the multiple eigenvalue $\lambda^\diamond_\alpha$ is split, so $\widetilde{B}_\alpha(V)$ denotes the sum of all corresponding residues. Then (see Proposition 3.2, Lemma 3.3)

(i) all $\widetilde{B}_\alpha(V)$ are well-defined in some *complex* neighborhood $\mathcal{B}(V^\diamond,r^\diamond)$ of $V^\diamond$;
(ii) for $V=V^*\in\mathcal{B}(V^\diamond,r^\diamond)$ one has $\widetilde{B}_\alpha=\widetilde{B}^*_\alpha$, $\operatorname{rank}\widetilde{B}_\alpha=k^\diamond_\alpha$ and the following holds:

$$\widetilde{A}_\alpha(V)=0\quad\Rightarrow\quad\widetilde{B}_\alpha(V)=B_\alpha(V).$$

In other words, $\widetilde{B}_\alpha(V)$ is the analytic continuation of $B_\alpha(V)$ from the isospectral set $\operatorname{Iso}(V^\diamond)$ into some complex neighborhood of $V^\diamond$ (emphasize that, due to the possible splitting of the eigenvalue $\lambda^\diamond_\alpha$ in case $k^\diamond_\alpha>1$, the original function $B_\alpha(V)$ is *discontinuous* even for self-adjoint potentials close to $V^\diamond$).

Step 3. We introduce the mapping

$$\widetilde{\Phi}:V\mapsto(\widetilde{A}_\alpha(V);\widetilde{B}_\alpha(V))_{\alpha\geqslant1}$$

which is defined in some complex neighborhood $\mathcal{B}(V^\diamond,r^\diamond)$ of $V^\diamond$ (see Sect. 3.2). We prove that $\widetilde{\Phi}$ maps $\mathcal{B}(V^\diamond,r^\diamond)$ into some "proper" ℓ^2-type space. In order to have the "nice" description of the image space, we consider some *modification* Φ, see details in Sect. 3.3, 3.4. The modified mapping Φ is analytic in $\mathcal{B}(V^\diamond,r^\diamond)$, so its restriction onto self-adjoint potentials close to $V^\diamond$ is real-analytic. Note that, if $V=V^*$, then both $k^\diamond_\alpha\times k^\diamond_\alpha$ matrix $\widetilde{A}_\alpha$ and $N\times N$ matrix $\widetilde{B}_\alpha$, $\operatorname{rank}\widetilde{B}_\alpha=k^\diamond_\alpha$, are self-adjoint. So, the total number of (real) parameters in $(\widetilde{A}_\alpha(V),\widetilde{B}_\alpha(V))$ is $(k^\diamond_\alpha)^2+k^\diamond_\alpha(2N-k^\diamond_\alpha)=2Nk^\diamond_\alpha$.

Step 4 We check that the Fréchet derivative $d_{V^\diamond}\Phi$ of the modified mapping Φ at the point $V^\diamond$ is invertible (see details in Sect. 3.5, 3.6) . Therefore, due to the Implicit Function Theorem, for each sequence $(B^\bullet_\alpha)_{\alpha\geqslant1}$ sufficiently close to $(B^\diamond_\alpha)_{\alpha\geqslant1}$ there exists some potential $V^\bullet$ (close to $V^\diamond$) such that $\widetilde{A}_\alpha(V^\bullet)=\widetilde{A}_\alpha(V^\diamond)=0$ and $\widetilde{B}_\alpha(V^\bullet)=B^\bullet_\alpha$ for all $\alpha\geqslant1$. If $\alpha^\bullet$ is large enough, then the sequence

$$B^\bullet_\alpha:=B^\diamond_\alpha,\ \text{ if }\alpha\leqslant\alpha^\bullet,\quad\text{and}\quad B^\bullet_\alpha:=B^\dagger_\alpha,\ \text{ if }\alpha>\alpha^\bullet,$$

is close to $(B^\diamond_\alpha)_{\alpha\geqslant1}$. Thus, we obtain some potential $V^\bullet$ such that

$$\widetilde{A}_\alpha(V^\bullet)=0\quad\text{for all }\alpha\geqslant1,\qquad\text{i.e.,}\quad\sigma(V^\bullet)=\{\lambda^\diamond_\alpha\}_{\alpha\geqslant1}$$

(counting with multiplicities) and

$$B_\alpha(V^\bullet)=\widetilde{B}_\alpha(V^\bullet)=B^\dagger_\alpha,\quad\text{for }\alpha>\alpha^\bullet.$$

Finally, using the isospectral transforms constructed in [CK06b], we change the *finite* number of residues B_α, $\alpha=1,2,..,\alpha^\bullet$ (see details in Sect. 3.7), and obtain the potential having the given spectral data $(\lambda^\diamond_\alpha,B^\dagger_\alpha)_{\alpha\geqslant1}$ or, equivalently, $(\lambda^\diamond_\alpha,P^\dagger_\alpha,g^\dagger_\alpha)_{\alpha\geqslant1}$. ☐

3.2. **Rough asymptotics of $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_\alpha(\mathbf{V})$ and $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_\alpha(\mathbf{V})$.** This section contains some preliminary calculations. Loosely speaking, we consider the diagonal potential $V^\diamond$ as the unperturbed case and derive some rough asymptotics of spectral data for V close to $V^\diamond$. The main results are formulated in Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 3.3.

Let $\varphi^\diamond, \vartheta^\diamond, \chi^\diamond, \eta^\diamond$ be the standard *diagonal* matrix-valued solutions (recall that $V^\diamond$ is diagonal) of the equation $-\psi''(x) + V^\diamond(x)\psi(x) = \lambda\psi(x)$ satisfying the following boundary conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} &\vartheta^\diamond(0) = (\varphi^\diamond)'(0) = I_N, \qquad && \eta^\diamond(1) = -(\chi^\diamond)'(1) = I_N, \\ &(\vartheta^\diamond)'(0) = \varphi^\diamond(0) = 0, && (\eta^\diamond)'(1) = \chi^\diamond(1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We denote $\varphi^\diamond_\alpha(x) = \varphi^\diamond(x, \lambda^\diamond_\alpha)$, $\vartheta^\diamond_\alpha(x) = \vartheta(x, \lambda^\diamond_\alpha)$ and so on. Let

$$J^\diamond(x,t) = \varphi^\diamond(x)\vartheta^\diamond(t) - \vartheta^\diamond(x)\varphi^\diamond(t) = -\chi^\diamond(x)\eta^\diamond(t) + \eta^\diamond(x)\chi^\diamond(t)$$

be the (diagonal) solution of the same equation such that $J^\diamond(t,t) = 0$, $(J^\diamond)'_x(t,t) = I_N$.

Let $V = V^\diamond + W$ be some complex potential close to $V^\diamond$. Then $\chi(x, \lambda, V)$ can be easily constructed by iterations with the kernel $J^\diamond(x,t)$ (note that $|J^\diamond(x,t;z^2)| = O(|z|^{-1}e^{|\operatorname{Im} z|\cdot|x-t|})$) starting with $\chi^\diamond(x,\lambda)$. Thus,

$$\chi(0,z^2,V) = \chi^\diamond(0,z^2) + \int_0^1 \varphi^\diamond(t,z^2)W(t)\chi^\diamond(t,z^2)dt + O\biggl(\frac{\|W\|^2 e^{|\operatorname{Im} z|}}{|z|^3}\biggr), \tag{3.4}$$

$$\chi'(0,z^2,V) = (\chi^\diamond)'(0,z^2) - \int_0^1 \vartheta^\diamond(t,z^2)W(t)\chi^\diamond(t,z^2)dt + O\biggl(\frac{\|W\|^2 e^{|\operatorname{Im} z|}}{|z|^2}\biggr) \tag{3.5}$$

uniformly on bounded subsets of W. In particular (see 2.2), if $\mu = O(1)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(0,\lambda^\diamond_{n,j}{+}\mu,V) &= \frac{(-1)^n}{2\pi^2 n^2}\Bigl(\operatorname{diag}\{\mu{-}v^0_j{+}v^0_1,..,\mu{-}v^0_j{+}v^0_N\}{+}o(1){+}O(\|W\|)\Bigr), \\ \chi'(0,\lambda^\diamond_{n,j}{+}\mu,V) &= (-1)^{n-1}(I_N + O(n^{-1}))\,, \qquad \text{as} \ \ n\to\infty, \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

uniformly on bounded subsets of W. Recall that $A_\alpha(V) = [\chi(\chi')^{-1}](0,\lambda^\diamond_\alpha,V)$ and its block $A^{22}_\alpha = q^\diamond_\alpha A_\alpha(q^\diamond_\alpha)^*$ are given by (3.1) and $d^\diamond = \frac{1}{2}\min_{\alpha\geqslant 1}(\lambda^\diamond_{\alpha+1}{-}\lambda^\diamond_\alpha) > 0$.

Lemma 3.1. *There exists $r^\diamond > 0$ such that for all (possibly non-selfadjoint) potentials*

$$V \in \mathcal{B}(V^\diamond, r^\diamond) = \bigl\{V \in \mathcal{L}^2([0,1];\mathbb{C}^{N\times N}) : \|V{-}V^\diamond\| < r^\diamond\bigr\}$$

the following is fulfilled for all $\alpha \geqslant 1$:

$$\det\chi'(0,\lambda^\diamond_\alpha,V) \ne 0, \quad \det A^{22}_\alpha(V) \ne 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad \det\chi(0,\lambda^\diamond_\alpha{+}\mu,V) \ne 0, \ \ \textit{if} \ \ |\mu| = d^\diamond.$$

Moreover, for all $j = 1,2,..,N$ and $|\mu| = d^\diamond$,

$$\bigl[A^{22}_{n,j}(V)\bigr]^{-1} = O(n^2) \quad \textit{and} \quad \bigl[\chi(0,\lambda^\diamond_{n,j}{+}\mu,V)\bigr]^{-1} = O(n^2) \tag{3.7}$$

uniformly on $\mathcal{B}(V^\diamond, r^\diamond)$.

Proof. It follows from (3.6) that all matrices $\chi'(0,\lambda^\diamond_{n,j},V)$, $A^{22}_{n,j}(V)$, $\chi(0,\lambda^\diamond_{n,j}{+}\mu,V)$ are non-degenerate and (3.7) holds, if $n \geqslant n_*$ is sufficiently large and $r^\diamond$ is sufficiently small. So, one needs to consider only some finite number of first indices $\alpha = 1,2,..,\alpha_*$.

Note that $\det\chi'(0,\lambda^\diamond_\alpha,V^\diamond) \ne 0$, $\det A^{22}_\alpha(V^\diamond) \ne 0$, $\det\chi(0,\lambda^\diamond_\alpha{+}\mu,V^\diamond) \ne 0$ for all α and all these matrices (as functions of V) are continuous at $V^\diamond$. Therefore, if $\|W\| \leqslant r^\diamond$ and $r^\diamond > 0$ is small enough, then all $\chi'(0,\lambda^\diamond_\alpha,V)$, $A^{22}_\alpha(V)$, $\chi(0,\lambda^\diamond_\alpha{+}\mu,V)$, $\alpha = 1,2,..,\alpha_*$, are non-degenerate too. $\square$

Proposition 3.2. *(i) There exists* $r^\diamond > 0$ *such that all* $\widetilde{A}_\alpha(V)$, $\widetilde{B}_\alpha(V)$, $\alpha \geqslant 1$, *are well-defined by (3.2), (3.3) and analytic in* $\mathcal{B}(V^\diamond, r^\diamond)$.
(ii) For all $j = 1, 2, .., N$ *the asymptotics*

$$\widetilde{A}_{n,j}(V) = O\biggl(\frac{\varepsilon_n(W)}{n^2}\biggr), \quad \widetilde{B}_{n,j}(V) - B^\diamond_{n,j} = O\left(n^2\varepsilon_n(W)\right), \quad \varepsilon_n(W) = |\widehat{W}^{(cn)}| + \frac{\|W\|}{n},$$

hold true uniformly for potentials

$$V \in \mathcal{B}^0(V^\diamond, r^\diamond) = \biggl\{V = V^\diamond + W \in \mathcal{B}(V^\diamond, r^\diamond) : \int_0^1 W(t)dt = 0\biggr\}.$$

Proof. (i) Due to Lemma 3.1, all $\widetilde{A}_\alpha(V)$, $\widetilde{B}_\alpha(V)$ are well-defined in some complex neighborhood $\mathcal{B}(V^\diamond, r^\diamond)$ of $V^\diamond$. These functions are analytic in this neighborhood since $\chi(0, \lambda, V)$ and $\chi'(0, \lambda, V)$ are analytic for each λ as functions of V.
(ii) Let $\lambda = \pi^2 n^2 + \mu$ and $|\mu| = O(1)$, thus

$$\varphi^\diamond(t, \lambda) = (\pi n)^{-1} \sin \pi n t + O(n^{-2}) \quad \text{and} \quad (-1)^{n-1}\chi^\diamond(t, \lambda) = (\pi n)^{-1} \sin \pi n t + O(n^{-2}).$$

Using (3.4), (3.5) and $\int_0^1 W(t)dt = 0$, we get

$$\chi(0, \lambda, V) = \chi^\diamond(0, \lambda) + O\biggl(\frac{\varepsilon_n(W)}{n^2}\biggr), \quad \chi'(0, \lambda, V) = (\chi^\diamond)'(0, \lambda) + O\biggl(\frac{\|W\|}{n}\biggr)$$

(note that $n^{-1}\|W\| \leqslant \varepsilon_n(W)$ by definition). Due to (3.6), it gives

$$A_{n,j}(V) = [\chi(\chi')^{-1}](0, \lambda^\diamond_{n,j}, V) = A_{n,j}(V^\diamond) + O\biggl(\frac{\varepsilon_n(W)}{n^2}\biggr).$$

Since $A^{11}_{n,j}(V^\diamond) = 0$, $A^{12}_{n,j}(V^\diamond) = 0$, $A^{21}_{n,j}(V^\diamond) = 0$ and $(A^{22}_{n,j}(V))^{-1} = O(n^2)$, we have

$$\widetilde{A}_{n,j}(V) = \left[A^{11}_{n,j} - A^{12}_{n,j}(A^{22}_{n,j})^{-1}A^{21}_{n,j}\right](V) = O\biggl(\frac{\varepsilon_n(W)}{n^2}\biggr).$$

Due to the similar arguments, if $\lambda = \lambda^\diamond_{n,j} + \mu$, $|\mu| = d^\diamond$, then

$$[\chi'\chi^{-1}](0, \lambda, V) = [(\chi^\diamond)'(\chi^\diamond)^{-1}](0, \lambda) + O\left(n^2\varepsilon_n(W)\right).$$

Integrating over the contour $|\mu| = d^\diamond$, we obtain $\widetilde{B}_{n,j}(V) = B^\diamond_{n,j} + O\left(n^2\varepsilon_n(W)\right)$. ☐

Lemma 3.3. *For some* $r^\diamond > 0$ *and all* $V = V^* \in \mathcal{B}(V^\diamond, r^\diamond)$ *the following hold:*

(i) $\widetilde{A}_\alpha(V) = [\widetilde{A}_\alpha(V)]^*$, $\widetilde{B}_\alpha(V) = [\widetilde{B}_\alpha(V)]^*$ *and* $\operatorname{rank} \widetilde{B}_\alpha(V) = k^\diamond_\alpha$;

(ii) $\widetilde{A}_\alpha(V) = 0$ *if and only if* $\lambda^\diamond_\alpha$ *is an eigenvalue of* V *of multiplicity* $k^\diamond_\alpha$;

(iii) if $\widetilde{A}_\alpha(V) = 0$, *then* $\widetilde{B}_\alpha(V) = B_\alpha(V)$.

Proof. (i) If $V = V^*$, then $M(\lambda) \equiv [M(\overline{\lambda})]^*$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. In particular, $\widetilde{B}_\alpha(V) = [\widetilde{B}_\alpha(V)]^*$, $A_\alpha(V) = [A_\alpha(V)]^*$ and $\widetilde{A}_\alpha(V) = [\widetilde{A}_\alpha(V)]^*$. Due to Lemma 3.1, $\det \chi(0, \lambda, V)$ has no zeros on the circle $|\lambda - \lambda_\alpha| = d^\diamond$ for all $V \in \mathcal{B}(V^\diamond, r^\diamond)$. Since the spectrum depends on the potentials continuously, for each self-adjoint potential $V = V^* \in \mathcal{B}(V^\diamond, r^\diamond)$ there are exactly $k^\diamond_\alpha$ eigenvalues in the interval $(\lambda^\diamond_\alpha - d^\diamond, \lambda^\diamond_\alpha + d^\diamond)$ counting with multiplicities.

If $\alpha > \alpha^\diamond$, then $k^\diamond_\alpha = 1$ and $\operatorname{rank} \widetilde{B}_\alpha(V) = \operatorname{rank} B_\alpha(V) = 1$. If $\alpha \leqslant \alpha^\diamond$, then $\operatorname{rank} \widetilde{B}_\alpha(V) \leqslant k^\diamond_\alpha$. Note that $\operatorname{rank} \widetilde{B}_\alpha(V^\diamond) = k^\diamond_\alpha$ and $\widetilde{B}_\alpha$ is a continuous function of V. Thus, if $r^\diamond$ is small enough, then $\operatorname{rank} \widetilde{B}_\alpha(V) \geqslant k^\diamond_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \leqslant \alpha^\diamond$ and $V \in \mathcal{B}(V^\diamond, r^\diamond)$.

(ii) Recall that $\lambda_\alpha^\diamond$ is an eigenvalue of V of multiplicity $k_\alpha^\diamond$ iff $\dim\operatorname{Ker}\chi(0,\lambda_\alpha^\diamond,V)=k_\alpha^\diamond$. Since $\det\chi'(0,\lambda_\alpha^\diamond,V)\ne 0$ (see Lemma 3.1), this is equivalent to say that

$$\dim\operatorname{Ker}[\chi(\chi')^{-1}](0,\lambda_\alpha^\diamond,V)=k_\alpha^\diamond,\quad \text{i.e.,}\quad \operatorname{rank}A_\alpha(V)=N-k_\alpha.$$

Due to Lemma 3.1, $\det A_\alpha^{22}(V)\ne 0$ for all $V\in\mathcal{B}(V^\diamond,r^\diamond)$. Then, the last statement is equivalent to $\widetilde{A}_\alpha(V)=[A_\alpha^{11}-A_\alpha^{12}(A_\alpha^{22})^{-1}A_\alpha^{21}](V)=0$.

(iii) If $\widetilde{A}_\alpha(V)=0$, then $\lambda_\alpha^\diamond$ is an eigenvalue of multiplicity $k_\alpha^\diamond$ and there are no other eigenvalues in the disc $|\lambda-\lambda_\alpha^\diamond|<d^\diamond$. Thus,

$$\widetilde{B}_\alpha(V)=-\operatorname{res}_{\lambda=\lambda_\alpha^\diamond}M(\lambda,V)=B_\alpha(V).\qquad\square$$

3.3. **Analyticity. Expanded mapping $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$.** Proposition 3.2 (i) guarantees that all matrices $\widetilde{A}_\alpha(V)$, $\widetilde{B}_\alpha(V)$, $\alpha\geqslant 1$, are well-defined in some neighborhood $\mathcal{B}(V^\diamond,r^\diamond)$ of $V^\diamond$. Let $\alpha^\diamond\geqslant 0$ and $n^\diamond\geqslant 1$ be such that

$$k_1^\diamond+k_2^\diamond+..+k_{\alpha^\diamond}^\diamond=N(n^\diamond-1)\quad\text{and}\quad k_\alpha^\diamond=1\ \text{ for all }\alpha\geqslant\alpha^\diamond+1,$$

so the double-indexing (n,j), $j=1,2,..,N$, is well-defined starting with $n^\diamond$. Also, let $n^\diamond$ be sufficiently large such that $g_{n,j}^{-1}(V^\diamond)=2\pi^2n^2$ for all $n\geqslant n^\diamond$ (see Step 1 Sect. 3.1). Recall that $B_n(V)=\sum_{j=1}^N\widetilde{B}_{n,j}(V)$ for $n\geqslant n^\diamond$.

Definition 3.4. *Introduce the (formal) mapping*

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi:V &\mapsto \big(\Psi^{(1)}(V)\,;\Psi^{(2)}(V)\big)=\Big(\big(\Psi_\alpha^{(1)}(V)\big)_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha^\diamond}\,;\big(\Psi_n^{(2)}(V)\big)_{n=n^\diamond}^{+\infty}\Big),\\
\Psi_\alpha^{(1)} &= \Big(\widetilde{A}_\alpha\,;\widetilde{B}_\alpha\Big),\\
\Psi_n^{(2)} &= \bigg(\Big(2\pi^2n^2\cdot\widetilde{A}_{n,j}\Big)_{j=1}^N\,;\ \bigg(\frac{\widetilde{B}_{n,j}}{2\pi^2n^2}-P_j^0\bigg)_{j=1}^N\,;\ \pi n\bigg[\frac{B_n}{2\pi^2n^2}-I_N\bigg]\bigg).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\Psi_\alpha^{(1)}$ and $\Psi_n^{(2)}$ map $\mathcal{B}(V^\diamond,r^\diamond)$ into some finite-dimensional spaces. Namely,

$$\Psi_\alpha^{(1)}:\mathcal{B}(V^\diamond,r^\diamond)\to\mathbb{C}^{k_\alpha^\diamond\times k_\alpha^\diamond}\oplus\mathbb{C}^{N\times N}\ \text{ and }\ \Psi_n^{(2)}:\mathcal{B}(V^\diamond,r^\diamond)\to\mathbb{C}^N\oplus\big[\mathbb{C}^{N\times N}\big]^N\oplus\mathbb{C}^{N\times N}.$$

Since $\Psi^{(1)}$ has the finite number of components, it also acts into *finite-dimensional* Hilbert (Euclidian) space $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(1)}=\bigoplus_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha^\diamond}\big[\mathbb{C}^{k_\alpha^\diamond\times k_\alpha^\diamond}\oplus\mathbb{C}^{N\times N}\big]$. It has been shown in Sect. 3.2 that the components of $\Psi^{(2)}$ have "nice" asymptotics for potentials

$$V\in\mathcal{B}^0(V^\diamond,r^\diamond)=\Big\{V=V^\diamond+W\in\mathcal{B}(V^\diamond,r^\diamond):\int_0^1W(t)dt=0\Big\}.$$

Let $\mathbb{N}_{n^\diamond}=\{n\in\mathbb{N}:n\geqslant n^\diamond\}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{R}}^{m\times m}=\{A=A^*\in\mathbb{C}^{m\times m}\}$ be the real component of the complex Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$, i.e., the real space of all self-adjoint $m\times m$ matrices.

Lemma 3.5. *(i) $\Psi^{(2)}$ maps $\mathcal{B}^0(V^\diamond,r^\diamond)$ into $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(2)}=\ell_{\mathbb{C}}^2\Big(\mathbb{N}_{n^\diamond}\,;\mathbb{C}^N\oplus\big[\mathbb{C}^{N\times N}\big]^N\oplus\mathbb{C}^{N\times N}\Big)$. Moreover, the image $\Psi^{(2)}\,[\mathcal{B}^0(V^\diamond,r^\diamond)]$ is bounded in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(2)}$.*

(ii) $\Psi:\mathcal{B}^0(V^\diamond,r^\diamond)\to\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}=\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(1)}\oplus\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(2)}$ is an analytic mapping between complex Hilbert spaces. Moreover, the Fréchet derivative $d_{V^\diamond}\Psi$ of Ψ at $V^\diamond$ is given by the Fréchet derivatives of its components: $(d_{V^\diamond}\Psi)W=\Big(((d_{V^\diamond}\Psi_\alpha^{(1)})W)_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha^\diamond}\,;((d_{V^\diamond}\Psi_n^{(2)})W)_{n=n^\diamond}^{+\infty}\Big)$.

(iii) $\Psi:\mathcal{B}^0_{\mathbb{R}}(V^\diamond,r^\diamond)=\mathcal{B}^0(V^\diamond,r^\diamond)\cap\mathcal{L}^2([0,1];\mathbb{C}^{N\times N}_{\mathbb{R}})\to\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbb{R}}=\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(1)}_{\mathbb{R}}\times\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(2)}_{\mathbb{R}}$ *is a real-analytic mapping between real Hilbert spaces and the Fréchet derivative* $d_{V^\diamond}\Psi$ *is given by the Fréchet derivatives of its components, where*

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(1)}_{\mathbb{R}}=\bigoplus\nolimits_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha^\diamond}\Big[\mathbb{C}^{k^\diamond_\alpha\times k^\diamond_\alpha}_{\mathbb{R}}\oplus\mathbb{C}^{N\times N}_{\mathbb{R}}\Big],\qquad \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(2)}_{\mathbb{R}}=\ell^2_{\mathbb{R}}\Big(\mathbb{N}_{n^\diamond};\mathbb{R}^N\oplus\big[\mathbb{C}^{N\times N}_{\mathbb{R}}\big]^N\oplus\mathbb{C}^{N\times N}_{\mathbb{R}}\Big).$$

Proof. (i) Due to Proposition 3.2, for all $j=1,2,..,N$

$$\widetilde{A}_{n,j}(V)=O(n^{-2}\varepsilon_n(W))\quad\text{and}\quad\widetilde{B}_{n,j}(V)-B^\diamond_{n,j}=O(n^2\varepsilon_n(W))$$

uniformly on $\mathcal{B}(V^\diamond,r^\diamond)$, where

$$\varepsilon_n(W)=|\widehat{W}^{(cn)}|+\frac{\|W\|}{n},\qquad\text{so}\qquad\sum_{n=n^\diamond}^{+\infty}|\varepsilon_n(W)|^2=O(\|W\|^2).$$

Since $B^\diamond_{n,j}=(g^\diamond_{n,j})^{-1}P^0_j=2\pi^2n^2P^0_j$, $n\geqslant n^\diamond$, we obtain

$$\Big(2\pi^2n^2\widetilde{A}_{n,j}(V)\Big)_{n=n^\diamond}^{+\infty}\in\ell^2\qquad\text{and}\qquad\left(\frac{\widetilde{B}_{n,j}(V)}{2\pi^2n^2}-P^0_j\right)_{n=n^\diamond}^{+\infty}\in\ell^2,\quad j=1,2,..,N,$$

uniformly on $\mathcal{B}^0(V^\diamond,r^\diamond)$. Also, due to Proposition 2.5,

$$\left(\pi n\left[\frac{B_n(V)}{2\pi^2n^2}-I_N\right]\right)_{n=n^\diamond}^{+\infty}\in\ell^2\quad\text{uniformly on }\mathcal{B}^0(V^\diamond,r^\diamond).$$

(ii) Due to Proposition 3.2, all coordinates $\Psi^{(1)}_\alpha$, $\alpha=1,2,..,\alpha^\diamond$, are analytic in $\mathcal{B}(V^\diamond,r^\diamond)$. Hence, $\Psi^{(1)}$ is analytic too. Similarly, all coordinates $\Psi^{(2)}_n$, $n\geqslant n^\diamond$, are analytic in $\mathcal{B}(V^\diamond,r^\diamond)$. It follows from (i), that $\Psi^{(2)}$ is also locally bounded in $\mathcal{B}^0(V^\diamond,r^\diamond)$. Therefore (e.g., see [PT87] (Appendix A, Theorem 3) or [Di99] (Chapter 3, Proposition 3.7)), $\Psi^{(2)}$ is analytic as the mapping between Hilbert spaces and its Fréchet derivative (or, equivalently, gradient) is given by the Fréchet derivatives (gradients) of its components.

(iii) By Lemma 3.3, Ψ maps $B^0_{\mathbb{R}}(V^\diamond,r^\diamond)$ into $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Ψ is real-analytic due to (ii). $\square$

3.4. **Analyticity. Modified mapping Φ.** The expanded mapping Ψ introduced in Definition 3.4 is real-analytic but overdetermined. In other words, its coordinates, obviously, are not independent from each other. In particular, there are no chances that the Fréchet derivative $d_{V^\diamond}\Psi$ is invertible. On the other hand, the coordinates $\widetilde{A}_\alpha(V)$, $\widetilde{B}_\alpha(V)$, $\alpha\geqslant1$, of the original mapping $\widetilde{\Phi}$ are independent, but we have no "nice" description of the image space. The next goal is to construct some modified mapping $\Phi=(\Phi^{(1)},\Phi^{(2)})$ (see Definitions 3.6, 3.8, 3.9) such that

(i) it keeps the full information about $\widetilde{A}_\alpha(V)$, $\widetilde{B}_\alpha(V)$, $\alpha\geqslant1$;
(ii) it is real-analytic as the mapping between Hilbert spaces;
(iii) its coordinates are "independent" from each other (more precisely, in Sect. 3.5, 3.6 we will show that $d_{V^\diamond}\Phi$ is an invertible linear operator).

We start with a slight modification of the first coordinates $\widetilde{B}_\alpha(V)$, $\alpha=1,2,..,\alpha^\diamond$. Recall that, if $V\in\mathcal{B}^0_{\mathbb{R}}(V^\diamond,r^\diamond)$, then $\widetilde{B}_\alpha(V)=[\widetilde{B}_\alpha(V)]^*$, $\operatorname{rank}\widetilde{B}_\alpha(V)=k^\diamond_\alpha$ and

$$B^\diamond_\alpha=(p^\diamond_\alpha)^*B^\diamond_\alpha p^\diamond_\alpha,\qquad p^\diamond_\alpha B^\diamond_\alpha(p^\diamond_\alpha)^*=(g^\diamond_\alpha)^{-1}=[(g^\diamond_\alpha)^{-1}]^*>0$$

(moreover, $g_\alpha^\diamond$ is diagonal, since $V^\diamond$ is diagonal). Therefore, if $r^\diamond > 0$ is sufficiently small, then for each $\alpha = 1, 2, .., \alpha^\diamond$ we have the (unique) factorization

$$\widetilde{B}_\alpha = [(p_\alpha^\diamond)^* + (q_\alpha^\diamond)^* E_\alpha]\, C_\alpha\, [p_\alpha^\diamond + E_\alpha^* q_\alpha^\diamond], \qquad \begin{aligned} C_\alpha &= C_\alpha^* = \widetilde{B}_\alpha^{11} : \mathcal{E}_\alpha^\diamond \to \mathcal{E}_\alpha^\diamond, \\ E_\alpha &= \widetilde{B}_\alpha^{21}[\widetilde{B}_\alpha^{11}]^{-1} : \mathcal{E}_\alpha^\diamond \to (\mathcal{E}_\alpha^\diamond)^\perp, \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where $\widetilde{B}_\alpha^{11} = p_\alpha^\diamond \widetilde{B}_\alpha (p_\alpha^\diamond)^*$, $\widetilde{B}_\alpha^{21} = q_\alpha^\diamond \widetilde{B}_\alpha (p_\alpha^\diamond)^*$ etc. Note that $C_\alpha > 0$, since $\operatorname{rank} \widetilde{B}_\alpha = k_\alpha^\diamond$.

Definition 3.6. *We introduce the first component of the mapping* Φ *by*

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi^{(1)} : \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}^0(V^\diamond, r^\diamond) \;\to\; \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(1)} \;=\; \bigoplus\nolimits_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha^\diamond} \Big[\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{R}}^{k_\alpha^\diamond \times k_\alpha^\diamond} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{R}}^{k_\alpha^\diamond \times k_\alpha^\diamond} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{(N-k_\alpha^\diamond)\times k_\alpha^\diamond} \Big], \\ &\Phi^{(1)}(V) = \big(\Phi_\alpha^{(1)}(V)\big)_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha^\diamond}, \qquad \Phi_\alpha^{(1)}(V) = \Big(\widetilde{A}_\alpha(V)\,;\, C_\alpha(V)\,;\, E_\alpha(V)\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Remark 3.7. *Due to Lemma 3.5 (ii),* $\Phi^{(1)}$ *is well-defined and real-analytic in* $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}^0(V^\diamond, r^\diamond)$*, if* $r^\diamond > 0$ *is small enough. Note that* $\widetilde{\Phi}^{(1)}$ *can be reconstructed from* $\Phi^{(1)}$ *and the total number of real parameters containing in* $\Phi^{(1)}$ *is* $2N(k_1^\diamond + k_2^\diamond + .. + k_{\alpha^\diamond}^\diamond) = 2N^2(n^\diamond - 1)$.

We pass to the design of the second component $\Phi^{(2)}$. The main purpose of (rather technical) Definition 3.8 is to combine heterogeneous objects from (1.6) into one object having "nice" asymptotics as $n \to \infty$ (see Proposition 3.10).

Due to Proposition 3.2, if $r^\diamond > 0$ is sufficiently small, then

$$|\widetilde{A}_{n,j}(V)| = O(n^{-2}\varepsilon_n(W)) \quad \text{and} \quad |\widetilde{B}_{n,j}(V) - 2\pi^2 n^2 P_j^0| = O(n^2 \varepsilon_n(W)). \tag{3.10}$$

In particular, if $V \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}^0(V^\diamond, r^\diamond)$, then factorization (3.8) is well-defined for all $n \geqslant n^\diamond$. Recall that $k_{n,j}^\diamond = 1$, so $\widetilde{A}_{n,j}(V)$ and $C_{n,j}(V) > 0$ are real numbers.

Definition 3.8. *Let* $V \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}^0(V^\diamond, r^\diamond)$ *and* $r^\diamond > 0$ *be sufficiently small. Introduce two numbers* $a_{n,j}(V), c_{n,j}(V) \in \mathbb{R}$ *and one vector* $e_{n,j}(V) \in \mathbb{C}^N$ *such that* $\langle e_{n,j}, e_j^0 \rangle = 1$ *as*

$$a_{n,j}(V) = 2\pi^2 n^2 \widetilde{A}_{n,j}(V), \quad c_{n,j}(V) = \big[(2\pi^2 n^2)^{-1} C_{n,j}(V)\big]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad e_{n,j}(V) = e_j^0 + E_{n,j}(V) e_j^0.$$

Furthermore, define $N \times N$ *matrix* $Y_n = Y_n(V) \in \mathbb{C}^{N\times N}$ *by*

$$Y_n = \big(\; \exp[ia_{n,1}] \cdot c_{n,1} \cdot e_{n,1} \;\;;\;\; \exp[ia_{n,2}] \cdot c_{n,2} \cdot e_{n,2} \;\;;\;\; \dots \;\;;\;\; \exp[ia_{n,N}] \cdot c_{n,N} \cdot e_{n,N} \;\big)$$

and let

$$Y_n(V) = U_n(V) S_n(V), \qquad U_n^* = U_n^{-1}, \quad S_n^* = S_n > 0,$$

be its polar decomposition.

Note that all $\widetilde{A}_{n,j}$, $\widetilde{B}_{n,j}$, $j = 1, 2, .., N$, can be easily reconstructed from U_n, S_n. Factorization (3.8) reads now as

$$(2\pi^2 n^2)^{-1} \widetilde{B}_{n,j} = c_{n,j}^2 \cdot e_{n,j} e_{n,j}^*,$$

so (3.10) gives

$$|a_{n,j}(V)|\,,\, |c_{n,j}(V) - 1|\,,\, |e_{n,j}(V) - e_j^0| = O(\varepsilon_n(W))$$

uniformly for $n \geqslant n^\diamond$. Hence,

$$|Y_n(V) - I_N|\,,\, |U_n(V) - I_N|\,,\, |S_n(V) - I_N| = O(\varepsilon_n(W)) \tag{3.11}$$

uniformly for $n \geqslant n^\diamond$ and $\det Y_n(V) \neq 0$ for all $V \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}^0(V^\diamond, r^\diamond)$, if $r^\diamond$ is small enough.

Definition 3.9. *Formally introduce the second component of the mapping* Φ *by*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^{(2)} \ &: \ V \mapsto \Phi^{(2)}(V) = (\Phi^{(2)}_n(V))_{n=n^\diamond}^{+\infty}, \\ \Phi^{(2)}_n \ &= \ (-i\log U_n \ ; \ 2\pi n\cdot(S_n - I_N)) \ : \ \mathcal{B}^0_{\mathbb{R}}(V^\diamond, r^\diamond) \to \mathbb{C}^{N\times N}_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{N\times N}_{\mathbb{R}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\log U_n = (U_n - I_N) - \frac12(U_n - I_N)^2 + \frac13(U_n - I_N)^3 - \ldots$

Recall that $\widetilde{A}_{n,j}(V^\diamond) = 0$ and $\widetilde{B}_{n,j}(V^\diamond) = 2\pi^2 n^2 P^0_j$ for all $n \geqslant n^\diamond$. Thus,

$$Y_n(V^\diamond) = U_n(V^\diamond) = S_n(V^\diamond) = I_N \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi^{(2)}_n(V^\diamond) = (0\,;\,0) \quad \text{for all } n \geqslant n^\diamond.$$

Proposition 3.10. *There exists* $r^\diamond > 0$ *such that the mapping*

$$\Phi^{(2)} : \mathcal{B}^0_{\mathbb{R}}(V^\diamond, r^\diamond) \to \ell^2_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{N}_{n^\diamond}; \mathbb{C}^{N\times N}_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{C}^{N\times N}_{\mathbb{R}})$$

is well-defined and real-analytic in $\mathcal{B}^0_{\mathbb{R}}(V^\diamond, r^\diamond)$*. Moreover, the Fréchet derivative* $d_{V^\diamond}\Phi^{(2)}$ *of* $\Phi^{(2)}$ *at* $V^\diamond$ *is given by the Fréchet derivatives of its components.*

Proof. Due to (3.11) and $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} |\varepsilon_n(W)|^2 = O(\|W\|^2)$, for sufficiently small $r^\diamond > 0$ the mapping

$$\mathcal{Y} : V \mapsto (Y_n(V) - I_N)_{n=n^\diamond}^{+\infty}, \qquad \mathcal{B}^0_{\mathbb{R}}(V^\diamond, r^\diamond) \to \ell^2_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{N}_{n^\diamond}; \mathbb{C}^{N\times N}),$$

is well-defined. Recall that Y_n is some simple function of $\widetilde{A}_{n,j}$ and $\widetilde{B}_{n,j}$, $j = 1, 2, .., N$ (see Definition 3.8). Using real-analyticity of the first two components of the expanded mapping $\Psi^{(2)}$ (see Definition 3.4 and Lemma 3.5), we conclude that $\mathcal{Y}$ is real-analytic as a composition of real-analytic mappings. Since $S_n = (Y_n^* Y_n)^{1/2}$ and $U_n = Y_n S_n^{-1}$, both mappings

$$\mathcal{S} : V \mapsto (S_n(V) - I_N)_{n=n^\diamond}^{+\infty}, \qquad \mathcal{B}^0_{\mathbb{R}}(V^\diamond, r^\diamond) \to \ell^2_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{N}_{n^\diamond}; \mathbb{C}^{N\times N}_{\mathbb{R}}),$$

and

$$\mathcal{U} : V \mapsto (-i\log U_n(V))_{n=n^\diamond}^{+\infty}, \qquad \mathcal{B}^0_{\mathbb{R}}(V^\diamond, r^\diamond) \to \ell^2_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{N}_{n^\diamond}; \mathbb{C}^{N\times N}_{\mathbb{R}}),$$

are real-analytic too as compositions of $\mathcal{Y}$ with some simple coordinate-wise transforms.

In order to complete the proof it is sufficient to show that $\mathcal{S}$ actually acts into "better" space ℓ^2_1. Note that

$$Y_n Y_n^* = \sum_{j=1}^N c_{n,j}^2 \cdot e_{n,j} e_{n,j}^* = \frac{1}{2\pi^2 n^2} \sum_{j=1}^N \widetilde{B}_{n,j} = \frac{B_n}{2\pi^2 n^2}.$$

Due to Lemma 3.5, the mapping

$$\mathcal{Z} : V \mapsto 2\pi n \cdot (Y_n Y_n^* - I_N)_{n=n^\diamond}^{+\infty}, \qquad \mathcal{B}^0_{\mathbb{R}}(V^\diamond, r^\diamond) \to \ell^2_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{N}_{n^\diamond}, \mathbb{C}^{N\times N}_{\mathbb{R}})$$

(which is the third component of $\Psi^{(2)}$) is real-analytic. Using $S_n = [U_n^{-1}(Y_n Y_n^*) U_n]^{1/2}$, we obtain that the mapping

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{S}} : V \mapsto 2\pi n \cdot (S_n(V) - I_N)_{n=n^\diamond}^{+\infty}, \qquad \mathcal{B}^0_{\mathbb{R}}(V^\diamond, r^\diamond) \to \ell^2_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{N}_{n^\diamond}; \mathbb{C}^{N\times N}_{\mathbb{R}}),$$

is real-analytic as a result of some coordinate-wise transforms with $\mathcal{Z}$ and $\mathcal{U}$. Note that $\Phi^{(2)} = (\mathcal{U}\,;\,\widetilde{\mathcal{S}})$. Since the Fréchet derivative $d_{V^\diamond}\Psi$ is given by the Fréchet derivatives of its components, the same holds true for all mappings $\mathcal{Y}$, $\mathcal{S}$, $\mathcal{U}$, $\mathcal{Z}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}$. ☐

Remark 3.11. *The mapping $\Phi = (\Phi^{(1)}; \Phi^{(2)})$ is real-analytic too, since both $\Phi^{(1)}$, $\Phi^{(2)}$ are real-analytic, and its Fréchet derivative is given by the Fréchet derivatives of $\Phi^{(1)}_\alpha$, $\Phi^{(2)}_n$. Note that each $\Phi^{(2)}_n$, $n \geqslant n^\diamond$, contains $2N^2$ real parameters, i.e., exactly "the same amount of information" as, say, the n-th Fourier coefficient $\widehat{V}^{(n)}$.*

3.5. **Explicit form of the Fréchet derivative $\mathbf{d_{V^\diamond}\Phi}$.** We denote by

$$\mathcal{P}^0 : W(x) \mapsto W(x) - \widehat{W}^{(0)}$$

the orthogonal projector in $\mathcal{L}^2([0,1];\mathbb{C}^{N\times N}_{\mathbb{R}})$ onto $\{W \in \mathcal{L}^2([0,1];\mathbb{C}^{N\times N}_{\mathbb{R}}) : \widehat{W}^{(0)} = 0\}$.

Recall that the mapping Φ was introduced in Definitions 3.6 and 3.9. Due to Remark 3.11, $(d_{V^\diamond}\Phi)W$ for $W \in \mathcal{P}^0\mathcal{L}^2([0,1];\mathbb{C}^{N\times N}_{\mathbb{R}})$ is given by

$$\begin{array}{lllll} (d_{V^\diamond}\widetilde{A}_\alpha)W, & (d_{V^\diamond}C_\alpha)W, & (d_{V^\diamond}E_\alpha)W & \text{for} & \alpha = 1, 2, .., \alpha^\diamond \\ \text{and} & (d_{V^\diamond}U_n)W, & (d_{V^\diamond}S_n)W & \text{for} & n \geqslant n^\diamond. \end{array}$$

We need some preliminary calculations. Let

$$\chi^\diamond_\alpha = \chi(\cdot, \lambda^\diamond_\alpha, V^\diamond), \quad \varphi^\diamond_\alpha = \varphi(\cdot, \lambda^\diamond_\alpha, V^\diamond) \quad \text{and so on.}$$

Since $V^\diamond$ is a *diagonal* potential, all these matrix-valued functions are *diagonal*. For short, we will use (a bit careless) notations like

$$\frac{\chi^\diamond_\alpha(t)}{(\chi^\diamond_\alpha)'(0)} := \chi^\diamond_\alpha(t)[(\chi^\diamond_\alpha)'(0)]^{-1} = [(\chi^\diamond_\alpha)'(0)]^{-1}\chi^\diamond_\alpha(t).$$

Recall that $p^\diamond_\alpha : \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathcal{E}^\diamond_\alpha$ and $q^\diamond_\alpha : \mathbb{C}^N \to (\mathcal{E}^\diamond_\alpha)^\perp$ are some *coordinate* projectors. Note that $\operatorname{Ker}[\chi^\diamond_\alpha(0)(q^\diamond_\alpha)^*] = \{0\}$, $\operatorname{Ker}[(\chi^\diamond_\alpha)'(0)(p^\diamond_\alpha)^*] = \{0\}$ and $\operatorname{Ker}[\dot\chi^\diamond_\alpha(0)(p^\diamond_\alpha)^*] = \{0\}$. Thus, expressions

$$[\chi^\diamond_\alpha(0)]^{-1}(q^\diamond_\alpha)^*, \quad [(\chi^\diamond_\alpha)'(0)]^{-1}(p^\diamond_\alpha)^* \quad \text{and} \quad [\dot\chi^\diamond_\alpha(0)]^{-1}(p^\diamond_\alpha)^*$$

(and their conjugates) are well-defined.

Proposition 3.12. *For all $\alpha \geqslant 1$ and $W \in \mathcal{P}^0\mathcal{L}^2([0,1];\mathbb{C}^{N\times N}_{\mathbb{R}})$ the following hold:*

$$(d_{V^\diamond}\widetilde{A}_\alpha)W = p^\diamond_\alpha \left[\int_0^1 \frac{\chi^\diamond_\alpha(t)}{(\chi^\diamond_\alpha)'(0)}\, W(t) \frac{\chi^\diamond_\alpha(t)}{(\chi^\diamond_\alpha)'(0)}\, dt\right](p^\diamond_\alpha)^*, \tag{3.12}$$

$$(d_{V^\diamond}E_\alpha)W = -q^\diamond_\alpha \left[\int_0^1 \frac{\chi^\diamond_\alpha(t)}{\chi^\diamond_\alpha(0)}\, W(t) \frac{\chi^\diamond_\alpha(t)}{(\chi^\diamond_\alpha)'(0)}\, dt\right](p^\diamond_\alpha)^* \tag{3.13}$$

and

$$(d_{V^\diamond}C_\alpha)W = p^\diamond_\alpha\left[\int_0^1 \left(\frac{\xi^\diamond_\alpha(t)}{\dot\chi^\diamond_\alpha(0)}\, W(t)\frac{\chi^\diamond_\alpha(t)}{\dot\chi^\diamond_\alpha(0)} + \frac{\chi^\diamond_\alpha(t)}{\dot\chi^\diamond_\alpha(0)}\, W(t)\frac{\xi^\diamond_\alpha(t)}{\dot\chi^\diamond_\alpha(0)}\right) dt\right](p^\diamond_\alpha)^*, \tag{3.14}$$

where

$$\xi^\diamond_\alpha(t) \equiv \dot\chi^\diamond_\alpha(t) - \frac{\ddot\chi^\diamond_\alpha(0)}{2\dot\chi^\diamond_\alpha(0)}\, \chi^\diamond_\alpha(t). \tag{3.15}$$

Proof. It follows from (3.4) and (3.5) that

$$(d_{V^\diamond}\chi(0,\lambda^\diamond_\alpha))W = \int_0^1 \varphi^\diamond_\alpha(t)W(t)\chi^\diamond_\alpha(t)dt, \quad (d_{V^\diamond}\chi'(0,\lambda^\diamond_\alpha))W = -\int_0^1 \vartheta^\diamond_\alpha(t)W(t)\chi^\diamond_\alpha(t)dt$$

$$\text{and} \qquad (d_{V^\diamond}\dot\chi(0,\lambda^\diamond_\alpha))W = \int_0^1 (\dot\varphi^\diamond_\alpha(t)W(t)\chi^\diamond_\alpha(t) + \varphi^\diamond_\alpha(t)W(t)\dot\chi^\diamond_\alpha(t))\, dt.$$

Recall that $\widetilde{A}_\alpha = A_\alpha^{11} - A_\alpha^{12}(A_\alpha^{22})^{-1}A_\alpha^{21}$, where

$$A_\alpha(V) = [\chi(\chi')^{-1}](0,\lambda_\alpha^\diamond,V), \quad A_\alpha^{11} = p_\alpha^\diamond A_\alpha(p_\alpha^\diamond)^*, \quad A_\alpha^{12} = p_\alpha^\diamond A_\alpha(q_\alpha^\diamond)^* \ \text{ and so on.}$$

Due to $A_\alpha^{12}(V^\diamond)=0$, $A_\alpha^{21}(V^\diamond)=0$ and $p_\alpha^\diamond\chi_\alpha^\diamond(0)=0$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}(d_{V^\diamond}\widetilde{A}_\alpha)W = (d_{V^\diamond}A_\alpha^{11})W &= p_\alpha^\diamond(d_{V^\diamond}\chi(0,\lambda_\alpha^\diamond))\,W\,[(\chi_\alpha^\diamond)'(0)]^{-1}(p_\alpha^\diamond)^*\\ &= p_\alpha^\diamond\biggl[\int_0^1 \varphi_\alpha^\diamond(t)W(t)\frac{\chi_\alpha^\diamond(t)}{(\chi_\alpha^\diamond)'(0)}\,dt\biggr](p_\alpha^\diamond)^*.\end{aligned}$$

This gives (3.12), since $p_\alpha^\diamond\varphi_\alpha^\diamond(t)\equiv p_\alpha^\diamond\chi_\alpha^\diamond(t)[(\chi_\alpha^\diamond)'(0)]^{-1}$. Next,

$$\begin{aligned}(d_{V^\diamond}\widetilde{B}_\alpha)W &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{|\lambda-\lambda_\alpha^\diamond|=d^\diamond}(d_{V^\diamond}(\chi'\chi^{-1})(0,\lambda))W\,d\lambda\\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{|\lambda-\lambda_\alpha^\diamond|=d^\diamond}\biggl[-(d_{V^\diamond}\chi'(0,\lambda))W + \frac{(\chi^\diamond)'(0,\lambda)}{\chi^\diamond(0,\lambda)}\,(d_{V^\diamond}\chi(0,\lambda))W\biggr]\frac{d\lambda}{\chi^\diamond(0,\lambda)}\,.\end{aligned}$$

Note that the diagonal matrix-valued function $[\chi^\diamond(0,\lambda)]^{-1}$ has the unique pole (at $\lambda_\alpha^\diamond$) inside of the contour of integration and

$$\frac{I_N}{\chi^\diamond(0,\lambda)} = P_\alpha^\diamond\biggl[\frac{I_N}{\dot{\chi}_\alpha^\diamond(0)(\lambda-\lambda_\alpha^\diamond)} - \frac{\ddot{\chi}_\alpha^\diamond(0)}{2[\dot{\chi}_\alpha^\diamond(0)]^2}\biggr]P_\alpha^\diamond + Q_\alpha^\diamond\frac{I_N}{\chi_\alpha^\diamond(0)}\,Q_\alpha^\diamond + O(\lambda-\lambda_\alpha^\diamond) \ \text{ as } \lambda\to\lambda_\alpha,$$

where $Q_\alpha^\diamond = (q_\alpha^\diamond)^*q_\alpha^\diamond = I_N - P_\alpha^\diamond$. Recall that $E_\alpha = \widetilde{B}_\alpha^{21}[\widetilde{B}_\alpha^{11}]^{-1}$ and $\widetilde{B}_\alpha^{21}(V^\diamond)=0$. Thus,

$$(d_{V^\diamond}E_\alpha)W = (d_{V^\diamond}\widetilde{B}_\alpha^{21})W\cdot[\widetilde{B}_\alpha^{11}(V^\diamond)]^{-1} = -(d_{V^\diamond}\widetilde{B}_\alpha^{21})W\cdot p_\alpha^\diamond\frac{\dot{\chi}_\alpha^\diamond(0)}{(\chi_\alpha^\diamond)'(0)}\,(p_\alpha^\diamond)^*$$

and

$$(d_{V^\diamond}\widetilde{B}_\alpha^{21})W = q_\alpha^\diamond\biggl[\int_0^1\biggl(\vartheta_\alpha^\diamond(t) + \frac{(\chi_\alpha^\diamond)'(0)}{\chi_\alpha^\diamond(0)}\,\varphi_\alpha^\diamond(t)\biggr)W(t)\chi_\alpha^\diamond(t)\frac{I_N}{\dot{\chi}_\alpha^\diamond(0)}\,dt\biggr](p_\alpha^\diamond)^*.$$

Using $\chi_\alpha^\diamond(0)\vartheta_\alpha^\diamond(t) + (\chi_\alpha^\diamond)'(0)\varphi_\alpha^\diamond(t)\equiv\chi_\alpha^\diamond(t)$, one obtains (3.13).

Furthermore, $C_\alpha(V) = \widetilde{B}_\alpha^{11}(V) = p_\alpha^\diamond\widetilde{B}_\alpha(V)(p_\alpha^\diamond)^*$. In contrast to $(d_{V^\diamond}\widetilde{B}_\alpha^{21})W$, we do not have cancellations of the singularities by the projectors, so one should find the residue at the second order pole $\lambda_\alpha^\diamond$. Straightforward calculations give

$$\begin{aligned}(d_{V^\diamond}C_\alpha)W \ &= \ \operatorname*{res}_{\lambda=\lambda_\alpha} p_\alpha^\diamond\biggl[-(d_{V^\diamond}\chi'(0,\lambda))W + \frac{(\chi^\diamond)'(0,\lambda)}{\chi^\diamond(0,\lambda)}\,(d_{V^\diamond}\chi(0,\lambda))W\biggr]\frac{I_N}{\chi^\diamond(0,\lambda)}\,(p_\alpha^\diamond)^*\\ = p_\alpha^\diamond\biggl[\int_0^1\biggl(\biggl[&\vartheta_\alpha^\diamond(t)W(t)\chi_\alpha^\diamond(t) + \biggl(\frac{(\dot{\chi}_\alpha^\diamond)'(0)}{\dot{\chi}_\alpha^\diamond(0)} - \frac{(\chi_\alpha^\diamond)'(0)\ddot{\chi}_\alpha^\diamond(0)}{2[\dot{\chi}_\alpha^\diamond(0)]^2}\biggr)\varphi_\alpha^\diamond(t)W(t)\chi_\alpha^\diamond(t)\\ &+ \frac{(\chi_\alpha^\diamond)'(0)}{\dot{\chi}_\alpha^\diamond(0)}\Bigl(\dot{\varphi}_\alpha^\diamond(t)W(t)\chi_\alpha^\diamond(t) + \varphi_\alpha^\diamond(t)W(t)\dot{\chi}_\alpha^\diamond(t)\Bigr)\biggr]\frac{I_N}{\dot{\chi}_\alpha^\diamond(0)}\\ &- \frac{I_N}{\dot{\chi}_\alpha^\diamond(0)}(\chi_\alpha^\diamond)'(0)\varphi_\alpha^\diamond(t)W(t)\frac{\chi_\alpha^\diamond(t)\ddot{\chi}_\alpha^\diamond(0)}{2[\dot{\chi}_\alpha^\diamond(0)]^2}\biggr)dt\biggr](p_\alpha^\diamond)^*.\end{aligned}$$

Using the identities

$$\vartheta_\alpha^\diamond(t) + \frac{(\dot{\chi}_\alpha^\diamond)'(0)}{\dot{\chi}_\alpha^\diamond(0)}\,\varphi_\alpha^\diamond(t) + \frac{(\chi_\alpha^\diamond)'(0)}{\dot{\chi}_\alpha^\diamond(0)}\,\dot{\varphi}_\alpha^\diamond(t) \equiv \frac{\dot{\chi}_\alpha^\diamond(t)}{\dot{\chi}_\alpha^\diamond(0)}$$

and $p_\alpha^\diamond(\chi_\alpha^\diamond)'(0)\varphi_\alpha^\diamond(t)\equiv p_\alpha^\diamond\chi_\alpha^\diamond(t)$, one obtains (3.14). ☐

Introduce the functions

$$\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}(t)\equiv[\chi_\alpha^\diamond(t)]_{jj}\equiv\chi(t,\lambda_\alpha^\diamond,v_{jj}^\diamond)\quad\text{and}\quad\xi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}(t)\equiv[\xi_\alpha^\diamond(t)]_{jj}\equiv\xi(t,\lambda_\alpha^\diamond,v_{jj}^\diamond),$$

where $\xi_\alpha^\diamond$ is given by (3.15).

Corollary 3.13. *Let $\alpha\geqslant 1$ and $I(\alpha)=\{s:\lambda_\alpha^\diamond\in\sigma(v_{ss}^\diamond)\}$ (by definition, the set $I(\alpha)$ consists of $k_\alpha^\diamond$ indices). Then, for all $W\in\mathcal{P}^0\mathcal{L}^2([0,1];\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{R}}^{N\times N})$,*

$$[(d_{V^\diamond}\widetilde{A}_\alpha)W]_{jk}=\langle W_{jk}\,,\,u_\alpha^{(jk)}\rangle,\qquad[(d_{V^\diamond}C_\alpha)W]_{jk}=\langle W_{jk}\,,\,\widetilde{u}_\alpha^{(jk)}\rangle,\qquad j,k\in I(\alpha),$$

where for all $\lambda_\alpha^\diamond\in\sigma(v_{jj}^\diamond)\cap\sigma(v_{kk}^\diamond)$ the functions $u_\alpha^{(jk)}$ and $\widetilde{u}_\alpha^{(jk)}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}u_\alpha^{(jk)}(t)&\equiv[(\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,j})'(0)(\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,k})'(0)]^{-1}\cdot\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}(t)\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,k}(t),\\ \widetilde{u}_\alpha^{(jk)}(t)&\equiv[\dot\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}(0)\dot\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,k}(0)]^{-1}\cdot\left(\xi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}(t)\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,k}(t)+\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}(t)\xi_\alpha^{\diamond,k}(t)\right).\end{aligned}\tag{3.16}$$

Furthermore,

$$[(d_{V^\diamond}E_\alpha)W]_{jk}=\langle W_{jk}\,,\,u_\alpha^{(jk)}\rangle,\qquad j\notin I(\alpha),\ k\in I(\alpha),$$

where for all $\lambda_\alpha^\diamond\in\sigma(v_{kk}^\diamond)\setminus\sigma(v_{jj}^\diamond)$ the function $u_\alpha^{(jk)}$ is given by

$$u_\alpha^{(jk)}(t)\equiv\ -[\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}(0)(\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,k})'(0)]^{-1}\cdot\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}(t)\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,k}(t).\tag{3.17}$$

Proof. Since $\chi_\alpha^\diamond$, $\xi_\alpha^\diamond$ are diagonal matrices, this is exactly the result of Proposition 3.12 rewritten in the coordinate form. □

Proposition 3.14. *Let $n\geqslant n^\diamond$ and $j,k=1,2,..,N$ be such that $j\neq k$. Then for all $W\in\mathcal{P}^0\mathcal{L}^2([0,1];\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{R}}^{N\times N})$ the following identities hold:*

$$[(d_{V^\diamond}Y_n)W]_{jj}=(4\pi^2n^2)^{-1}\langle W_{jj}\,,\,\widetilde{u}_{n,j}^{(jj)}\rangle+i\cdot 2\pi^2n^2\langle W_{jj}\,,\,u_{n,j}^{(jj)}\rangle,\tag{3.18}$$

where the functions $u_{n,j}^{(jj)}$ and $\widetilde{u}_{n,j}^{(jj)}$ are given by (3.16), and

$$[(d_{V^\diamond}Y_n)W]_{jk}=\langle W_{jk}\,,\,u_{n,k}^{(jk)}\rangle,\tag{3.19}$$

where the functions $u_{n,k}^{(jk)}$ are given by (3.17). Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}(d_{V^\diamond}S_n)W&=\tfrac12\left((d_{V^\diamond}Y_n)W+[(d_{V^\diamond}Y_n)W]^*\right),\\ (d_{V^\diamond}U_n)W&=\tfrac12\left((d_{V^\diamond}Y_n)W-[(d_{V^\diamond}Y_n)W]^*\right).\end{aligned}\tag{3.20}$$

Proof. By definition of Y_n,

$$[(d_{V^\diamond}Y_n)W]_{jk}=\left\langle(d_{V^\diamond}[\exp(ia_{n,k})\cdot c_{n,k}\cdot e_{n,k}])W\,,\,e_j^0\right\rangle.$$

Recall that $a_{n,k}(V^\diamond)=0$, $c_{n,k}(V^\diamond)=1$, $e_{n,k}(V)=e_k^0+E_{n,k}(V)e_k^0$ and $E_{n,k}(V^\diamond)=0$. Thus,

$$[(d_{V^\diamond}Y_n)W]_{jj}=(d_{V^\diamond}c_{n,j})W+i\cdot(d_{V^\diamond}a_{n,j})W=\frac{(d_{V^\diamond}C_{n,j})W}{4\pi^2n^2}+i\cdot 2\pi^2n^2(d_{V^\diamond}\widetilde{A}_{n,j})W$$

and

$$[(d_{V^\diamond}Y_n)W]_{jk}=[(d_{V^\diamond}E_{n,k})W]_j\,.$$

Due to Corollary 3.13, one obtains (3.18) and (3.19). Recall that $S_n=(Y_n^*Y_n)^{1/2}$, $U_n=Y_nS_n^{-1}$ and $Y_n(V^\diamond)=U_n(V^\diamond)=S_n(V^\diamond)=I_N$. This immediately gives (3.20). □

3.6. Invertibility of the Fréchet derivative $\mathbf{d_{V^\diamond}\Phi}$.

Due to Remark 3.11,

$$(d_{V^\diamond}\Phi^{(1)}_\alpha)W = ((d_{V^\diamond}\widetilde{A}_\alpha)W\,;\,(d_{V^\diamond}C_\alpha)W\,;\,(d_{V^\diamond}E_\alpha)W),\quad \alpha=1,2,..,\alpha^\diamond,$$
$$(d_{V^\diamond}\Phi^{(2)}_n)W = (-i(d_{V^\diamond}U_n)W\,;\,2\pi n(d_{V^\diamond}S_n)W),\qquad n=n^\diamond,n^\diamond{+}1,...$$

Recall that $W_{kj}=\overline{W_{jk}}$ for all $1\leqslant k\leqslant j\leqslant N$. It immediately follows from Corollary 3.13 and Proposition 3.14 that the entries of the components of $(d_{V^\diamond}\Phi)W$ are

(1) *for all* $j=1,2,..,N$ *(diagonal entries of* (a) $\widetilde{A}_\alpha$, C_α *and* (b) U_n, S_n*):*
  (a) $\langle W_{jj}\,,\,u^{(jj)}_\alpha\rangle$, $\langle W_{jj}\,,\,\widetilde{u}^{(jj)}_\alpha\rangle$, *where* $\alpha\leqslant\alpha^\diamond$ *are such that* $\lambda^\diamond_\alpha\in\sigma(v^\diamond_{jj})$*;*
  (b) $2\pi^2n^2\cdot\langle W_{jj}\,,\,u^{(jj)}_{n,j}\rangle$, $(2\pi n)^{-1}\cdot\langle W_{jj}\,,\,\widetilde{u}^{(jj)}_{n,j}\rangle$, *for all* $n\geqslant n^\diamond$*;*

(2) *for all* $1\leqslant k<j\leqslant N$ *(non-diagonal entries of* (a) $\widetilde{A}_\alpha$, C_α*;* (b) E_α*;* (c) U_n, S_n*):*
  (a) $\langle W_{jk}\,,\,u^{(jk)}_\alpha\rangle$, $\langle W_{jk}\,,\,\widetilde{u}^{(jk)}_\alpha\rangle$ *and their complex-conjugates*
  $\langle\overline{W_{jk}}\,,\,u^{(kj)}_\alpha\rangle$, $\langle\overline{W_{jk}}\,,\,\widetilde{u}^{(kj)}_\alpha\rangle$, *where* $\alpha\leqslant\alpha^\diamond$*:* $\lambda^\diamond_\alpha\in\sigma(v^\diamond_{jj})\cap\sigma(v^\diamond_{kk})$*;*
  (b) $\langle W_{jk}\,,\,u^{(jk)}_\alpha\rangle$, *where* $\alpha\leqslant\alpha^\diamond$ *are such that* $\lambda^\diamond_\alpha\in\sigma(v^\diamond_{kk})\setminus\sigma(v^\diamond_{jj})$*;*
  $\langle\overline{W_{jk}}\,,\,u^{(kj)}_\alpha\rangle$, *where* $\alpha\leqslant\alpha^\diamond$ *are such that* $\lambda^\diamond_\alpha\in\sigma(v^\diamond_{jj})\setminus\sigma(v^\diamond_{kk})$*;*
  (c) $\frac{1}{2i}\cdot\langle W_{jk}\,,\,[u^{(jk)}_{n,k}-u^{(kj)}_{n,j}]\rangle$, $\pi n\cdot\langle W_{jk}\,,\,[u^{(jk)}_{n,k}+u^{(kj)}_{n,j}]\rangle$ *and their conjugates*
  $\frac{1}{2i}\cdot\langle\overline{W_{jk}}\,,\,[u^{(kj)}_{n,j}-u^{(jk)}_{n,k}]\rangle$, $\pi n\cdot\langle\overline{W_{jk}}\,,\,[u^{(kj)}_{n,j}+u^{(jk)}_{n,k}]\rangle$, *for all* $n\geqslant n^\diamond$.

Note that $u^{(jk)}_\alpha=u^{(kj)}_\alpha$ and $\widetilde{u}^{(jk)}_\alpha=\widetilde{u}^{(kj)}_\alpha$, if $\lambda_\alpha\in\sigma(v^\diamond_{jj})\cap\sigma(v^\diamond_{kk})$.

Definition 3.15. *For each* $1\leqslant k\leqslant j\leqslant N$ *we introduce the collection of real scalar functions*

$$\mathcal{U}^{(jj)}=\Big\{u^{(jj)}_\alpha\,,\,\widetilde{u}^{(jj)}_\alpha,\ \alpha\leqslant\alpha^\diamond:\lambda^\diamond_\alpha\in\sigma(v^\diamond_{jj})\Big\}\cup\Big\{2\pi^2n^2u^{(jj)}_{n,j}\,,\,(2\pi n)^{-1}\,\widetilde{u}^{(jj)}_{n,j},\ n\geqslant n^\diamond\Big\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{U}^{(jk)}=&\ \Big\{u^{(jk)}_\alpha,\widetilde{u}^{(jk)}_\alpha,\ \alpha\leqslant\alpha^\diamond:\lambda^\diamond_\alpha\in\sigma(v^\diamond_{jj})\cap\sigma(v^\diamond_{kk})\Big\}\\ &\cup\Big\{u^{(jk)}_\alpha,\ \alpha\leqslant\alpha^\diamond:\lambda^\diamond_\alpha\in\sigma(v^\diamond_{kk})\setminus\sigma(v^\diamond_{jj})\Big\}\cup\Big\{u^{(kj)}_\alpha,\ \alpha\leqslant\alpha^\diamond:\lambda^\diamond_\alpha\in\sigma(v^\diamond_{jj})\setminus\sigma(v^\diamond_{kk})\Big\}\\ &\cup\Big\{\tfrac{1}{2}[u^{(jk)}_{n,k}-u^{(kj)}_{n,j}]\,,\,\pi n[u^{(jk)}_{n,k}+u^{(kj)}_{n,j}]\,,\ n\geqslant n^\diamond\Big\},\end{aligned}$$

where the functions $u^{(jk)}_\alpha$ *and* $\widetilde{u}^{(jk)}_\alpha$ *are given by (3.16) and (3.17). Note that each collection* $\mathcal{U}^{(jk)}$ *contains exactly* $2(n^\diamond{-}1)$ *functions with "small" indices* $\alpha\leqslant\alpha^\diamond$.

Remark 3.16. *Due to the arguments given above, in order to prove that* $[d_{V^\diamond}\Phi]^{-1}$ *is bounded, it is sufficient to prove that each* $\mathcal{P}^0\mathcal{U}^{(jk)}$ *is a Riesz basis of* $\mathcal{P}^0\mathcal{L}^2(0,1)$.

Lemma 3.17. *For each* $1\leqslant k\leqslant j\leqslant N$ *there exists some collection of functions* $\mathcal{V}^{(jk)}\subset\mathcal{P}^0\mathcal{L}^2(0,1)$ *which is biorthogonal to* $\mathcal{U}^{(jk)}$ *(and, therefore, to* $\mathcal{P}^0\mathcal{U}^{(jk)}$*).*

Proof. Taking into account definitions (3.16), (3.17) and (3.15), it is sufficient to construct some collection $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}^{(jk)}\subset\mathcal{P}^0\mathcal{L}^2(0,1)$ which is biorthogonal to $\mathcal{P}^0\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}^{(jk)}$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}^{(jk)}\ &=\ \big\{\chi^{\diamond,j}_\alpha\chi^{\diamond,k}_\alpha,\ \text{for all }\lambda^\diamond_\alpha\in\sigma(v^\diamond_{jj})\cup\sigma(v^\diamond_{kk})\big\}\\ &\cup\ \big\{\dot{\chi}^{\diamond,j}_\alpha\chi^{\diamond,k}_\alpha+\chi^{\diamond,j}_\alpha\dot{\chi}^{\diamond,k}_\alpha,\ \text{for all }\lambda^\diamond_\alpha\in\sigma(v^\diamond_{jj})\cap\sigma(v^\diamond_{kk})\big\}\,,\end{aligned}$$

since $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}^{(jk)}$ and $\mathcal{U}^{(jk)}$ are related by some simple linear transformations (namely, multiplications by fixed constants, $(\chi,\xi{=}\dot\chi{+}c\chi)\leftrightarrow(\chi,\dot\chi)$ and $(u_1,u_2)\leftrightarrow(u_1{+}u_2,u_1{-}u_2)$). Note that we consider both cases $k=j$ and $k<j$ simultaneously. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}^{(jk)} &= \Big\{[\varphi_\beta^{\diamond,j}\varphi_\beta^{\diamond,k}]',\ \text{for all } \lambda_\beta^\diamond\in\sigma(v_{jj}^\diamond)\cup\sigma(v_{kk}^\diamond)\Big\}\\ &\cup\ \Big\{[\dot\varphi_\beta^{\diamond,j}\varphi_\beta^{\diamond,k}+\varphi_\beta^{\diamond,j}\dot\varphi_\beta^{\diamond,k}]',\ \text{for all } \lambda_\beta^\diamond\in\sigma(v_{jj}^\diamond)\cap\sigma(v_{kk}^\diamond)\Big\},\end{aligned}$$

By definition, $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}^{(jk)}\subset\mathcal{P}^0\mathcal{L}^2(0,1)$. Let $\lambda_\alpha\neq\lambda_\beta$ and $\{\chi,\varphi\}=\chi\varphi'-\chi'\varphi$. The standard trick (e.g., see [PT87] pp. 44–45 for the similar calculation in the scalar case) shows

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\langle\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,k},[\varphi_\beta^{\diamond,j}\varphi_\beta^{\diamond,k}]'\Big\rangle &= \frac12\int_0^1\Big[(\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,k})(\varphi_\beta^{\diamond,j}\varphi_\beta^{\diamond,k})'-(\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,k})'(\varphi_\beta^{\diamond,j}\varphi_\beta^{\diamond,k})\Big](t)dt\\ &= \frac12\int_0^1\Big[\{\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,j},\varphi_\beta^{\diamond,j}\}(\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,k}\varphi_\beta^{\diamond,k})+(\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}\varphi_\beta^{\diamond,j})\{\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,k},\varphi_\beta^{\diamond,k}\}\Big](t)dt \qquad (3.21)\\ &= \frac{\{\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,j},\varphi_\beta^{\diamond,j}\}\{\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,k},\varphi_\beta^{\diamond,k}\}\big|_0^1}{2(\lambda_\alpha{-}\lambda_\beta)}=\frac{[\varphi_\beta^{\diamond,j}\varphi_\beta^{\diamond,k}](1)-[\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,k}](0)}{2(\lambda_\alpha{-}\lambda_\beta)}.\end{aligned}$$

If both $\lambda_\alpha,\lambda_\beta\in\sigma(v_{jj}^\diamond)\cup\sigma(v_{kk}^\diamond)$, then $\varphi_\beta^{\diamond,j}(1)\varphi_\beta^{\diamond,k}(1)=\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}(0)\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,k}(0)=0$. Hence,

$$\Big\langle\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,k},[\varphi_\beta^{\diamond,j}\varphi_\beta^{\diamond,k}]'\Big\rangle=0$$

Moreover, if $\lambda_\alpha\in\sigma(v_{jj}^\diamond)\cap\sigma(v_{kk}^\diamond)$ (the case $\lambda_\beta\in\sigma(v_{jj}^\diamond)\cap\sigma(v_{kk}^\diamond)$ is similar), then the right-hand side in (3.21), as a function of λ_α, has a double zero, so we can differentiate this identity (with respect to λ_α) and obtain

$$\Big\langle\dot\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,k}{+}\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}\dot\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,k},[\varphi_\beta^{\diamond,j}\varphi_\beta^{\diamond,k}]'\Big\rangle=0.$$

Also, if both $\lambda_\alpha,\lambda_\beta\in\sigma(v_{jj}^\diamond)\cap\sigma(v_{kk}^\diamond)$, then

$$\Big\langle\dot\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,k}{+}\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}\dot\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,k},[\dot\varphi_\beta^{\diamond,j}\varphi_\beta^{\diamond,k}{+}\varphi_\beta^{\diamond,j}\dot\varphi_\beta^{\diamond,k}]'\Big\rangle=0.$$

Let $\lambda_\alpha{=}\lambda_\beta\in\sigma(v_{jj}^\diamond)\setminus\sigma(v_{kk}^\diamond)$ (or $\lambda_\alpha{=}\lambda_\beta\in\sigma(v_{kk}^\diamond)\setminus\sigma(v_{jj}^\diamond)$). Then $\{\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,j},\varphi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}\}=0$, $\{\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,k},\varphi_\alpha^{\diamond,k}\}\neq0$ and

$$\big\langle\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,k}\,,[\varphi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}\varphi_\alpha^{\diamond,k}]'\big\rangle=\frac{\{\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,k},\varphi_\alpha^{\diamond,k}\}}{2}\int_0^1\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}(t)\varphi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}(t)dt\neq0.$$

Let $\lambda_\alpha{=}\lambda_\beta\in\sigma(v_{jj}^\diamond)\cap\sigma(v_{kk}^\diamond)$. Then $\{\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,j},\varphi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}\}=\{\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,k},\varphi_\alpha^{\diamond,k}\}=0$ and

$$\big\langle\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,k}\,,[\varphi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}\varphi_\alpha^{\diamond,k}]'\big\rangle=0.$$

Using (3.21) for $\lambda_\beta\to\lambda_\alpha$, one gets

$$\big\langle\dot\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,k}{+}\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}\dot\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,k}\,,\,[\varphi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}\varphi_\alpha^{\diamond,k}]'\big\rangle=\lim_{\lambda_\beta\to\lambda_\alpha}\frac{\varphi_\beta^{\diamond,j}(1)\varphi_\beta^{\diamond,k}(1)}{2(\lambda_\alpha{-}\lambda_\beta)^2}=\frac{[\dot\varphi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}\dot\varphi_\alpha^{\diamond,k}](1)}{2}\neq0.$$

Similarly,

$$\big\langle\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,k}\,,[\dot\varphi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}\varphi_\alpha^{\diamond,k}+\varphi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}\dot\varphi_\alpha^{\diamond,k}]'\big\rangle=-\frac{[\dot\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}\dot\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,k}](0)}{2}\neq0.$$

Finally, one needs to correct $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}^{(jk)}$ slightly, replacing the functions $[\dot\varphi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}\varphi_\alpha^{\diamond,k}+\varphi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}\dot\varphi_\alpha^{\diamond,k}]'$ for all $\lambda_\alpha\in\sigma(v_{jj}^\diamond)\cap\sigma(v_{kk}^\diamond))$ by

$$[\dot\varphi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}\varphi_\alpha^{\diamond,k}+\varphi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}\dot\varphi_\alpha^{\diamond,k}]'+c_\alpha[\varphi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}\varphi_\alpha^{\diamond,k}]'$$

with appropriate constants c_α, in order to guarantee

$$\big\langle\dot\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,k}+\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}\dot\chi_\alpha^{\diamond,k}\,,\,[\dot\varphi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}\varphi_\alpha^{\diamond,k}+\varphi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}\dot\varphi_\alpha^{\diamond,k}]'+c_\alpha[\varphi_\alpha^{\diamond,j}\varphi_\alpha^{\diamond,k}]'\big\rangle=0$$

After these corrections, $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}^{(jk)}$ becomes biorthogonal to $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}^{(jk)}$. □

Proposition 3.18. *$\mathcal{P}^0\mathcal{U}^{(jk)}$ is a Riesz basis of $\mathcal{P}^0\mathcal{L}^2(0,1)$ for all $1\leqslant k\leqslant j\leqslant N$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{P}^0\mathcal{U}^{(jk)}$ admits the biorthogonal system, it is sufficient to check that elements of $\mathcal{P}^0\mathcal{U}^{(jk)}$ are asymptotically close (say, in ℓ^2–sense) to some unperturbed Riesz basis (note that these functions are in one-to-one correspondence with eigenvalues of $v_{jj}^\diamond$ and $v_{kk}^\diamond$, and we have two functions in $\mathcal{U}^{(jk)}$ for common eigenvalues). Those $u\in\mathcal{U}^{(jk)}$ that correspond to first eigenvalues $\lambda_{n,j}^\diamond$, $\lambda_{n,k}^\diamond$, $n<n^\diamond$, do not affect the asymptotical behavior, so it is sufficient to consider $n\geqslant n^0$.

We need some simple asymptotics. Let $\lambda=\pi^2n^2+\mu$, $\mu=O(1)$, and $v\in\mathcal{L}^2(0,1)$ be some (scalar) potential. Then

$$\chi(t,\lambda,v)=\frac{\sin\pi n(1-t)}{\pi n}+O\Big(\frac{1}{n^2}\Big),\qquad \dot\chi(t,\lambda,v)=\frac{(1-t)\cos\pi n(1-t)}{2\pi^2n^2}+O\Big(\frac{1}{n^3}\Big),$$

$$\chi'(0,\lambda,v)=(-1)^{n-1}+O\Big(\frac1n\Big),\quad \dot\chi(0,\lambda,v)=\frac{(-1)^n}{2\pi^2n^2}+O\Big(\frac{1}{n^3}\Big),\quad \ddot\chi(0,\lambda,v)=O\Big(\frac{1}{n^4}\Big)$$

as $n\to\infty$. In particular,

$$\xi(t,\lambda,v)=\dot\chi(t,\lambda,v)-\frac{\ddot\chi(0,\lambda,v)}{2\dot\chi(0,\lambda,v)}\,\chi(t,\lambda,v)=\frac{(1-t)\cos\pi n(1-t)}{2\pi^2n^2}+O\Big(\frac{1}{n^3}\Big).$$

If $k=j$, one obtains

$$\mathcal{P}^0\Big[2\pi^2n^2\cdot u_{n,j}^{(jj)}\Big]=\mathcal{P}^0\left[\frac{2\pi^2n^2[\chi_{n,j}^{\diamond,j}(t)]^2}{[(\chi_{n,j}^{\diamond,j})'(0)]^2}\right]=-\cos2\pi nt+O\Big(\frac1n\Big)$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}^0\Big[(2\pi n)^{-1}\cdot\widetilde{u}_{n,j}^{(jj)}\Big]=\mathcal{P}^0\left[\frac{\xi_{n,j}^{\diamond,j}(t)\chi_{n,j}^{\diamond,j}(t)}{\pi n[\dot\chi_{n,j}^{\diamond,j}(0)]^2}\right]=-\mathcal{P}^0\,\big[(1-t)\sin2\pi nt\big]+O\Big(\frac1n\Big).$$

It's easy to see that the collection

$$\mathcal{R}=\Big\{\cos2\pi nt\ ,\ \mathcal{P}^0[(1-t)\sin2\pi nt],\ n\geqslant1\Big\}\tag{3.22}$$

is a Riesz basis of $\mathcal{P}^0\mathcal{L}^2(0,1)$. Indeed, all functions $(\frac12-t)\sin2\pi nt$, $n\geqslant1$, are linear combinations of $\cos2\pi mt$, $m\geqslant1$, since they are symmetric with respect to $\frac12$. Hence,

$$\begin{pmatrix}\langle f,\cos2\pi nt\rangle_{n=1}^{+\infty}\\ \langle f,\mathcal{P}^0[(1-t)\sin2\pi nt]\rangle_{n=1}^{+\infty}\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}I&0\\ \mathcal{A}&\frac12I\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\langle f,\cos2\pi nt\rangle_{n=1}^{+\infty}\\ \langle f,\sin2\pi nt\rangle_{n=1}^{+\infty}\end{pmatrix}.$$

and the linear operator $\langle f,\cos2\pi nt\rangle_{n=1}^{+\infty}\mapsto\langle f,\mathcal{P}^0[(\frac12-t)\sin2\pi nt]\rangle_{n=1}^{+\infty}$, $f\in\mathcal{L}^2(0,1)$, is bounded in ℓ^2, since the operator $f\mapsto(\frac12-t)f$ is bounded in $\mathcal{L}^2(0,1)$.

Thus, $\mathcal{R}$ is a Riesz basis of $\mathcal{P}^0\mathcal{L}^2(0,1)$ and $\mathcal{P}^0\mathcal{U}^{(jj)}$ is ℓ^2–close to $\mathcal{R}$ (note that in both $\mathcal{P}^0\mathcal{U}^{(jj)}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ there are exactly $2(n^\diamond-1)$ functions with $n<n^\diamond$). Due to Lemma 3.17, the elements of $\mathcal{P}^0\mathcal{U}^{(jj)}$ are linearly independent. Therefore, $\mathcal{P}^0\mathcal{U}^{(jj)}$ is a Riesz basis of $\mathcal{P}^0\mathcal{L}^2(0,1)$ by the Fredholm Alternative (see, e.g., [PT87] p. 163).

Let $k<j$ and $n\geqslant n^\diamond$. Due to $[(\chi^{\diamond,j}_{n,k})'(\dot{\chi}^{\diamond,j}_{n,k})^{-1}](0)=-(g^\diamond_{n,k})^{-1}=-2\pi^2n^2$, one has

$$u^{(jk)}_{n,k}(t)=-\frac{\chi^{\diamond,j}_{n,k}(t)\chi^{\diamond,k}_{n,k}(t)}{\chi^{\diamond,j}_{n,k}(0)(\chi^{\diamond,k}_{n,k})'(0)}=\frac{\chi^{\diamond,j}_{n,k}(t)\chi^{\diamond,k}_{n,k}(t)}{2\pi^2n^2\chi^{\diamond,j}_{n,k}(0)\dot{\chi}^{\diamond,k}_{n,k}(0)}\,.$$

Note that

$$\chi^{\diamond,j}_{n,k}(t)=\chi^{\diamond,j}_{n,j}(t)+(\lambda^\diamond_{n,k}-\lambda^\diamond_{n,j})\dot{\chi}^{\diamond,j}_{n,j}(t)+O(n^{-3})$$

and

$$\chi^{\diamond,j}_{n,k}(0)=(\lambda^\diamond_{n,k}-\lambda^\diamond_{n,j})\cdot\dot{\chi}^{\diamond,j}_{n,j}(0)+O(n^{-4}),$$

since $\chi^{\diamond,j}_{n,j}(0)=0$ and $\ddot{\chi}^{\diamond,j}_{n,j}(0)=O(n^{-4})$. Therefore,

$$u^{(jk)}_{n,k}(t)=\frac{1}{\lambda^\diamond_{n,k}-\lambda^\diamond_{n,j}}\cdot\frac{\chi^{\diamond,j}_{n,j}(t)\chi^{\diamond,k}_{n,k}(t)}{2\pi^2n^2\dot{\chi}^{\diamond,j}_{n,j}(0)\dot{\chi}^{\diamond,k}_{n,k}(0)}+\frac{\dot{\chi}^{\diamond,j}_{n,j}(t)\chi^{\diamond,k}_{n,k}(t)}{2\pi^2n^2\dot{\chi}^{\diamond,j}_{n,j}(0)\dot{\chi}^{\diamond,k}_{n,k}(0)}+O\biggl(\frac{1}{n^2}\biggr).$$

Thus,

$$\mathcal{P}^0\biggl[\tfrac{1}{2}[u^{(jk)}_{n,k}-u^{(kj)}_{n,j}]\biggr]=-\frac{\cos 2\pi nt}{\lambda^\diamond_{n,k}-\lambda^\diamond_{n,j}}+O\biggl(\frac{1}{n}\biggr)$$

and, since the first term of $u^{(jk)}_{n,k}(t)$ is antisymmetric with respect to j and k,

$$\mathcal{P}^0\biggl[\pi n\cdot[u^{(jk)}_{n,k}+u^{(kj)}_{n,j}]\biggr]=-\mathcal{P}^0\left[(1-t)\sin 2\pi nt\right]+O\biggl(\frac{1}{n}\biggr).$$

As above, we see that $\mathcal{P}^0\mathcal{U}^{(jk)}$ (up to some uniformly bounded multiplicative constants) is ℓ^2–close to the Riesz basis $\mathcal{R}$ given by (3.22). So, $\mathcal{P}^0\mathcal{U}^{(jk)}$ is a Riesz basis due to the Fredholm Alternative and Lemma 3.17. ☐

Corollary 3.19. *The Fréchet derivative*

$$d_{V^\diamond}\Phi=\bigl(d_{V^\diamond}\Phi^{(1)}\,;\,d_{V^\diamond}\Phi^{(2)}\bigr):\mathcal{P}^0\mathcal{L}^2([0,1];\mathbb{C}^{N\times N}_{\mathbb{R}})\to\mathcal{H}^{(1)}_{\mathbb{R}}\oplus\mathcal{H}^{(2)}_{\mathbb{R}},$$

$$\mathcal{H}^{(1)}_{\mathbb{R}}=\bigoplus\nolimits_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha^\diamond}\Bigl[\mathbb{C}^{k^\diamond_\alpha\times k^\diamond_\alpha}_{\mathbb{R}}\oplus\mathbb{C}^{k^\diamond_\alpha\times k^\diamond_\alpha}_{\mathbb{R}}\oplus\mathbb{C}^{(N-k^\diamond_\alpha)\times k^\diamond_\alpha}\Bigr],\qquad \mathcal{H}^{(2)}_{\mathbb{R}}=\ell^2_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{N}_{n^\diamond}\,;\,\mathbb{C}^{N\times N}_{\mathbb{R}}\oplus\mathbb{C}^{N\times N}_{\mathbb{R}}\right),$$

is a linear isomorphism (in other words, $d_{V^\diamond}\Phi$ is invertible).

Proof. See Remark 3.16 and Proposition 3.18. ☐

3.7. **Completion of the proof. Changing of the finite number of first residues.**

Let $\{(\lambda^\diamond_\alpha,P^\dagger_\alpha,g^\dagger_\alpha)\}_{\alpha\geqslant 1}$ be some data which satisfy conditions (A)–(C) in Theorem 1.1) and $B^\dagger_\alpha=P^\dagger_\alpha(g^\dagger_\alpha)^{-1}P^\dagger_\alpha$. Recall that $P^\dagger_{n,j}=P^0_j+\ell^2$ and $(g^\dagger_{n,j})^{-1}=2\pi^2n^2(1+\ell^2_1)$. Similarly to Definition 3.8, if n is sufficiently large, then we may introduce the (unique) factorization

$$(2\pi^2n^2)^{-1}B^\dagger_{n,j}=(c^\dagger_{n,j})^2\cdot e^\dagger_{n,j}(e^\dagger_{n,j})^*,\quad c^\dagger_{n,j}\in\mathbb{R}_+,\ e^\dagger_{n,j}\in\mathbb{C}^N,\ \langle e^\dagger_{n,j},e^0_j\rangle=1.$$

Note that $e^\dagger_{n,j}=e^0_n+\ell^2$ and $c^\dagger_{n,j}=1+\ell^2$. Define

$$Y^\dagger_n=\Bigl(\ c^\dagger_{n,1}\cdot e^\dagger_{n,1}\quad;\quad c^\dagger_{n,2}\cdot e^\dagger_{n,2}\quad;\quad\ldots\quad;\quad c^\dagger_{n,N}\cdot e^\dagger_{n,N}\ \Bigr)\in\mathbb{C}^{N\times N}.$$

Since $Y_n^\dagger = I_N + \ell^2$, the matrix $Y_n^\dagger$ is non-degenerate for all sufficiently large n, and we may introduce its (unique) polar decomposition

$$Y_n^\dagger = U_n^\dagger S_n^\dagger, \qquad [U_n^\dagger]^* = [U_n^\dagger]^{-1}, \ \ [S_n^\dagger]^* = S_n^\dagger > 0.$$

Note that $U_n^\dagger = I_N + \ell^2$ and $S_n^\dagger = I_N + \ell^2$. By our assumptions, $\sum_{j=1}^N P_{n,j}^\dagger = I_N + \ell_1^2$ and $(g_{n,j}^\dagger)^{-1} = 2\pi^2 n^2 (1 + \ell_1^2)$, so Lemma 2.4 gives

$$U_n^\dagger (S_n^\dagger)^2 (U_n^\dagger)^* = Y_n^\dagger (Y_n^\dagger)^* = (2\pi^2 n^2)^{-1} \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^N B_{n,j}^\dagger = I_N + \ell_1^2.$$

Therefore, $S_n^\dagger = I_N + \ell_1^2$.

Recall that $U_n^\diamond = U_n(V^\diamond) = I_N$ and $S_n^\diamond = S_n(V^\diamond) = I_N$ for all $n \geqslant n^\diamond$, so $\Phi^{(2)}(V^\diamond) = 0$. Since the Fréchet derivative $d_{V^\diamond}\Phi$ is invertible, the mapping $\Phi = (\Phi^{(1)}; \Phi^{(2)})$ is a local bijection near $V^\diamond$. Therefore, if $\alpha^\bullet$ is large enough, then there exists some potential $V^\bullet \in \mathcal{B}^0_{\mathbb{R}}(V^\diamond, r^\diamond)$ such that

$$\Phi^{(1)}(V^\bullet) = \Phi^{(1)}(V^\diamond), \quad \Phi^{(2)}_n(V^\bullet) = \Phi^{(2)}_n(V^\diamond) = 0 \ \text{ for all } n^\diamond \leqslant n \leqslant n^\bullet,$$

$$\text{and} \qquad \Phi^{(2)}_n(V^\bullet) = \big(-i \log U_n^\dagger \ ; \ 2\pi n \cdot (S_n^\dagger - I_N)\big) \quad \text{for all } n \geqslant n^\bullet,$$

where $\alpha^\bullet - \alpha^\diamond = N(n^\bullet - n^\diamond)$ (i.e., $\alpha^\bullet + 1$ corresponds to the double-index $(n^\bullet, 1)$). Since the original mapping $\widetilde{\Phi}$ can be reconstructed from Φ, one has

$$\widetilde{A}_\alpha(V^\bullet) = \widetilde{A}_\alpha(V^\diamond) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \alpha \leqslant \alpha^\bullet,$$

$$\widetilde{A}_{n,j}(V^\bullet) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{B}_{n,j}(V^\bullet) = B_{n,j}^\dagger \qquad \text{for all } n \geqslant n^\bullet.$$

Due to Lemma 3.3, it gives

$$\sigma(V^\bullet) = \{\lambda_\alpha^\diamond\}_{\alpha \geqslant 1} \qquad \text{and} \qquad B_{n,j}(V^\bullet) = B_{n,j}^\dagger \ \text{ for all } n \geqslant n^\bullet.$$

At last, we need to change the *finite* number of first residues $(B_\alpha(V^\bullet))_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha^\bullet}$ to $(B_\alpha^\dagger)_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha^\bullet}$. Recall that the isospectral transforms constructed in [CK06b] allow to modify each particular residue B_α in an almost arbitrary way. The only one restriction (concerning the change of projector P_α to $\widetilde{P}_\alpha$) is

$$\mathcal{F}_\alpha \cap \operatorname{Ran} \widetilde{P}_\alpha = \{0\},$$

where $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$, $\dim \mathcal{F}_\alpha = N - k_\alpha$ is some "forbidden" subspace that is uniquely determined by the spectrum and all other subspaces $(\mathcal{E}_\beta)_{\beta \neq \alpha}$. It's not hard to conclude (see Proposition A.4) that this restriction is *equivalent* to the following:

One can modify B_α in an arbitrary way such that (C) holds true.

In general situation one can change all $B_\alpha(V^\bullet)$ to $B_\alpha^\dagger$ by $\alpha^\bullet$ steps. Nevertheless, it may happen that at some intermediate step the desired residue $B_\alpha^\dagger$ violates (C). In order to overcome this difficulty note that one can always change B_α to some $\widetilde{B}_\alpha^\dagger$ which is arbitrary close to $B_\alpha^\dagger$ in the natural topology. Then, in any case, after $\alpha^\bullet$ steps one can obtain some potential $\widetilde{V}^\bullet$ such that $B_\alpha(\widetilde{V}^\bullet) = \widetilde{B}_\alpha^\dagger$ for all $\alpha = 1, .., \alpha^\bullet$ (and, of course, $B_\alpha(\widetilde{V}^\bullet) = B_\alpha(V^\bullet) = B_\alpha^\dagger$ for all $\alpha > \alpha^\bullet$). By Corollary A.2, the set of all admitted by (C) sequences $(B_\alpha)_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha^\bullet}$ is open in the natural topology. Therefore, if $(\widetilde{B}_\alpha^\dagger)_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha^\bullet}$ and $(B_\alpha^\dagger)_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha^\bullet}$ are close enough, then all changes $\widetilde{B}_\alpha^\dagger \mapsto B_\alpha^\dagger$ are permitted. So, after another at most $\alpha^\bullet$ steps one obtains the potential V such that $B_\alpha(V) = B_\alpha^\dagger$ for all $\alpha = 1, .., \alpha^\bullet$ (and still $B_\alpha(V) = B_\alpha^\dagger$ for all $\alpha > \alpha^\bullet$). The proof is finished. $\square$

A. Appendix. Property (C)

Let $\lambda_\alpha > 0$ for all $\alpha \geqslant 1$. Note that (C) doesn't depend on shifts of the spectrum, so we do not lose the generality. We begin with the following simple

Remark A.1. *If an entire function ξ is bounded on the real positive half-line, then the condition $\xi(\lambda) = O(e^{|\operatorname{Im}\sqrt{\lambda}|})$ is equivalent to say that $\xi(z^2)$ is an entire function of exponential type no greater than* 1 *(see* [Ko88]*, p.28).*

Recall that the Paley-Wiener space $PW_{[-1,1]}$ consists of all entire functions $f(z)$ of exponential type no greater than 1 such that $f \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R})$. The Paley-Wiener theorem (see [Ko88] p.30) claims

$$f \in PW_{[-1,1]} \qquad \text{iff} \qquad f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-1}^{1} \phi(t)e^{-izt}dt, \quad \text{where} \ \ \phi \in \mathcal{L}^2(-1,1). \tag{A.1}$$

Proof of Proposition 1.3. If $\phi \in \mathcal{L}^2([-1,1]\,;\mathbb{C}^N)$ is some vector-valued function such that

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \phi(t)dt = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad h_\alpha^* \int_{-1}^{1} \phi(t)e^{\pm i\sqrt{\lambda_\alpha}t}dt = 0 \ \text{ for all } \alpha \geqslant 1, \tag{A.2}$$

then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-1}^{1} \phi(t)e^{-izt}dt = zf(z) \quad \text{and} \quad P_\alpha f(\pm\sqrt{\lambda_\alpha}) = 0 \ \text{ for all } \alpha \geqslant 1,$$

where $zf(z) \in PW_{[-1,1]}$. Denote $\xi(z^2) = \frac{1}{2}[f(z)+f(-z)]$ or $\xi(z^2) = \frac{1}{2z}[f(z)-f(-z)]$. Then, $P_\alpha\xi(\lambda_\alpha)=0$, $\alpha \geqslant 1$, $\xi(\lambda)=O(e^{|\operatorname{Im}\sqrt{\lambda}|})$ and $\xi\in\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. This contradicts to (C).

Conversely, let $\xi(\lambda)=O(e^{|\operatorname{Im}\sqrt{\lambda}|})$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Then $f(z)=z\xi(z^2) \in PW_{[-1,1]}$, so it admits representation (A.1) with some $\phi \in \mathcal{L}^2(-1,1)$. It's easy to check that $P_\alpha\xi(\lambda_\alpha) = 0$ and $f(0) = 0$ imply (A.2). Hence, $\phi \equiv 0$. □

We have the immediate

Corollary A.2. *If one fixes the spectrum $\{\lambda_\alpha\}_{\alpha\geqslant 1}$ and all projectors P_α, $\alpha \geqslant \alpha^\bullet+1$, for some $\alpha^\bullet \geqslant 0$, then the set of all finite sequences $(P_\alpha)_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha^\bullet}$ satisfying the condition (C) is open in the natural topology.*

Introduce the function

$$\xi_\beta(\lambda) \equiv \frac{\chi(0,\lambda,V)P_\beta^\sharp}{\lambda-\lambda_\beta}, \tag{A.3}$$

where $P_\beta^\sharp : \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathcal{E}_\beta^\sharp$ is the orthogonal projector onto the subspace $\mathcal{E}_\beta^\sharp = \operatorname{Ker}\chi(0,\lambda_\beta,V)$.

Proposition A.3. *Let $\beta \geqslant 1$ and $V=V^* \in \mathcal{L}^2([0,1];\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{R}}^{N\times N})$. Then,*

(i) $\xi_\beta : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^{N\times N}$ is an entire matrix-valued function, $\xi_\beta(\lambda) = O(e^{|\operatorname{Im}\sqrt{\lambda}|})$ as $|\lambda| \to \infty$, $\xi_\beta \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $P_\alpha\xi_\beta(\lambda_\alpha) = 0$ for all $\alpha \neq \beta$.

(ii) If $\xi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^N$ is an entire vector-valued function such that $\xi(\lambda)=O(e^{|\operatorname{Im}\sqrt{\lambda}|})$ as $|\lambda| \to \infty$, $\xi \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $P_\alpha\xi(\lambda_\alpha)=0$ for all $\alpha \neq \beta$, then $\xi = \xi_\beta h$ for some $h \in \mathbb{C}^N$.

Proof. (i) The function ξ_β is entire due to $\chi(0,\lambda_\beta,V)P_\beta^\sharp=0$. Furthermore,

$$\xi_\beta(\lambda)=O(|\lambda|^{-\frac{3}{2}}e^{|\operatorname{Im}\sqrt{\lambda}|})\ \text{ as } |\lambda|\to\infty \qquad \text{and} \qquad P_\alpha\xi_\beta(\lambda_\alpha)=0\ \text{ for all } \alpha\neq\beta,$$

since $P_\alpha\chi(0,\lambda_\alpha)=P_\alpha[\varphi(1,\lambda_\alpha)]^*=[\varphi(1,\lambda_\alpha)P_\alpha]^*=0$.
(ii) Lemma 2.2 [CK06b] claims

$$[\chi(0,\lambda,V)]^{-1}=[\varphi^*(1,\overline{\lambda},V)]^{-1}=(Z_\alpha^{-1}+O(\lambda-\lambda_\alpha))((\lambda-\lambda_\alpha)^{-1}P_\alpha+P_\alpha^\perp)\ \text{ as }\ \lambda\to\lambda_\alpha$$

for some Z_α, $\alpha\neq\beta$, such that $\det Z_\alpha\neq 0$ and

$$[\chi(0,\lambda,V)]^{-1}=[\varphi(1,\lambda,V^\sharp)]^{-1}=((\lambda-\lambda_\beta)^{-1}P_\beta^\sharp+(P_\beta^\sharp)^\perp)(Z_\beta^{-1}+O(\lambda-\lambda_\beta))\ \text{ as }\ \lambda\to\lambda_\beta$$

for some Z_β, $\det Z_\beta\neq 0$. Due to $P_\alpha\xi(\lambda_\alpha)=0$, $\alpha\neq\beta$, the (vector-valued) function

$$\omega(\lambda)=[\chi(0,\lambda,V)]^{-1}\xi(\lambda)$$

is analytic except λ_β and $\omega(\lambda)=(\lambda-\lambda_\beta)^{-1}P_\beta^\sharp h+O(1)$ as $\lambda\to\lambda_\beta$ for some $h\in\mathbb{C}^N$. Since $\omega(\lambda)=O(|\lambda|^{1/2})$ as $|\lambda|=\pi^2(n+\frac{1}{2})^2\to\infty$, the Liouville theorem gives

$$\xi(\lambda)\equiv\chi(0,\lambda,V)\left[(\lambda-\lambda_\beta)^{-1}P_\beta^\sharp h+\omega_0\right]\equiv\xi_\beta(\lambda)h+\chi(0,\lambda,V)\omega_0\quad\text{for some }\omega_0\in\mathbb{C}^N.$$

Finally, $\xi\in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ implies $\omega_0=0$. □

Recall the construction of the "forbidden" subspaces $\mathcal{F}_\alpha\subset\mathbb{C}^N$, $\alpha\geqslant 1$, given in [CK06b]. Let $V=V^*\in\mathcal{L}^2([0,1];\mathbb{C}^{N\times N})$. For each $\alpha\geqslant 1$ denote

$$\mathcal{F}_\alpha=[S_\alpha(\mathcal{E}_\alpha)]^\perp,\quad\text{where}\ \ S_\alpha=S_\alpha(V)=\int_0^1[\varphi^*\varphi](t,\lambda_\alpha,V)dt=S_\alpha^*>0$$

and $\mathcal{E}_\alpha=\operatorname{Ran}P_\alpha$. Note that $\dim\mathcal{F}_\alpha=N-\dim\mathcal{E}_\alpha=N-k_\alpha$. The main result of [CK06b] is that one can modify each particular projector P_α (keeping the spectrum and all other projectors fixed) in an arbitrary way such that $\mathcal{F}_\alpha\cap\operatorname{Ran}P_\alpha=\{0\}$. It's quite natural that this restriction is equivalent to property (C) as shows

Proposition A.4 (Connection between subspaces $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$ and property (C)). *Let $\beta\geqslant 1$ and $(\lambda_\alpha\,;P_\alpha)_{\alpha=1}^{+\infty}=(\lambda_\alpha(V)\,;P_\alpha(V))_{\alpha=1}^{+\infty}$ for some $V=V^*\in\mathcal{L}^2([0,1];\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{R}}^{N\times N})$. Then, the collection $(\lambda_\alpha\,;\widetilde{P}_\alpha)_{\alpha=1}^{+\infty}$, where $\widetilde{P}_\alpha=P_\alpha$ for all $\alpha\neq\beta$, satisfies (C) iff*

$$\mathcal{F}_\beta\cap\operatorname{Ran}\widetilde{P}_\beta=\{0\},\quad\textit{where}\quad\mathcal{F}_\beta=[S_\beta(\mathcal{E}_\beta)]^\perp.\tag{A.4}$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{F}_\beta=[\operatorname{Ran}\xi_\beta(\lambda_\beta)]^\perp$, where ξ_β is given by (A.3).

Proof. It follows from Proposition A.3 (ii) that (C) holds true for the new collection $(\lambda_\alpha\,;\widetilde{P}_\alpha)_{\alpha=1}^{+\infty}$ if and only if $\widetilde{P}_\beta\xi_\beta(\lambda_\beta)h\neq 0$ for all $h\in\mathcal{E}_\beta^\sharp$, $h\neq 0$. In other words, (C) is equivalent to

$$\operatorname{Ran}\xi_\beta(\lambda_\beta)\cap\operatorname{Ker}\widetilde{P}_\beta=\{0\}.\tag{A.5}$$

One has (see Lemmas 2.4 and 2.1 [CK06b] for details)

$$\xi_\beta(\lambda_\beta)=\dot{\chi}(0,\lambda_\beta)P_\beta^\sharp=\dot{\varphi}^*(1,\lambda_\beta)P_\beta^\sharp=-\dot{\varphi}^*(1,\lambda_\beta)\varphi'(1,\lambda_\beta)\chi'(0,\lambda_\beta)P_\beta^\sharp.$$

Moreover, $\operatorname{Ran}\chi'(0,\lambda_\beta)P_\beta^\sharp=\mathcal{E}_\beta$ and

$$\operatorname{Ran}\xi_\beta(\lambda_\beta)=\operatorname{Ran}[\dot{\varphi}^*(1,\lambda_\beta)\varphi'(1,\lambda_\beta)P_\beta]=\operatorname{Ran}S_\beta P_\beta=S_\beta(\mathcal{E}_\beta).$$

Since $\dim\operatorname{Ker}\widetilde{P}_\beta=N-k_\beta=N-\dim S_\beta(\mathcal{E}_\beta)$, (A.5) is equivalent to (A.4). □

We finish our discussion by the consideration of the special case when only finite number of P_α differ from the standard unperturbed coordinate projectors.

> Let $A=\{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,..,\alpha_m\}$ be some finite set of exceptional indices. Assume that $P_\alpha=P_\alpha^0$ coincides with some *coordinate* projector P_α^0 for all $\alpha\notin A$ (we admit multiple eigenvalues). Introduce the sets
>
> $$A_j^0=\{\alpha\notin A: P_\alpha e_j^0\neq 0\}$$
>
> (possible multiple eigenvalues belong to several A_j^0). Assume that there exists $C>0$ such that the set $\{\lambda_\alpha,\alpha\in A_j^0\}\cap(-\infty,\pi^2n^2+C]$ consists of exactly $n-m$ points for all $j=1,2,..,N$, if n is large enough. Let
>
> $$k_{\alpha_1}+k_{\alpha_2}+..+k_{\alpha_m}=Nm$$

We give the simple description of all *finite* sequences $(P_{\alpha_s})_{s=1}^m$, $\operatorname{rank}P_\alpha=k_\alpha$, such that the whole collection $\{(\lambda_\alpha\,;P_\alpha)\}_{\alpha=1}^{+\infty}$ satisfies (C):

Proposition A.5. *Let $(\lambda_\alpha\,;P_\alpha)_{\alpha=1}^{+\infty}$ be as described above. Then (C) holds true iff*

$$\mathcal{T}=\begin{pmatrix} T_0 & T_1 & ... & T_{m-1}\\ T_1 & T_2 & ... & T_m\\ ... & ... & ... & ...\\ T_{m-1} & T_m & ... & T_{2m-2}\end{pmatrix}=\mathcal{T}^*>0,$$

where

$$T_k=\sum_{\alpha\in A}\lambda_\alpha^kF(\lambda_\alpha)P_\alpha F(\lambda_\alpha)=T_k^*,\qquad k=0,1,..,2m-2,$$

$$F(\lambda)\equiv\operatorname{diag}\{f_1(\lambda),f_2(\lambda),..,f_N(\lambda)\}\quad\textit{and}\quad f_j(\lambda)\equiv\prod\nolimits_{\alpha\in A_j^0}\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_\alpha}\right).$$

Remark A.6. *Since $\mathcal{T}\geqslant 0$ in any case, the condition $\mathcal{T}>0$ is equivalent to* $\det\mathcal{T}\neq 0$.

Proof. Indeed, let $\xi(\lambda)=(\xi_1(\lambda),\xi_2(\lambda),..,\xi_N(\lambda))^\top$ be such that $\xi(\lambda)=O(e^{|\operatorname{Im}\sqrt{\lambda}|})$, $\xi\in\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $P_\alpha\xi(\lambda_\alpha)=0$ for all $\alpha\geqslant 1$. In particular, $P_j^0\xi(\lambda_\alpha)=0$ for all $\alpha\in A_j^0$. In order words, $z\xi_j(z^2)\in PW_{[-1,1]}$ and $\xi_j(\lambda_\alpha)=0$ for all $\alpha\in A_j^0$. Therefore,

$$\xi_j(\lambda)\equiv Q_j(\lambda)f_j(\lambda),\qquad \deg Q_j\leqslant m-1,$$

for some polynomials Q_j. Let

$$Q(\lambda)=(Q_1(\lambda),Q_2(\lambda),..,Q_N(\lambda))^\top=\sum_{p=0}^{m-1}\lambda^p y_p,\quad y_p\in\mathbb{C}^N\ \text{ and }\ y=\left(y_p\right)_{p=0}^{m-1}\in\mathbb{C}^{Nm}.$$

Then,

$$y^*\mathcal{T}y=\sum_{p,q=0}^{m-1}y_p^*T_{p+q}y_q=\sum_{p,q=0}^{m-1}y_p^*\left[\sum_{\alpha\in A}\lambda_\alpha^{p+q}F(\lambda_\alpha)P_\alpha F(\lambda_\alpha)\right]y_q$$

$$=\sum_{a\in A}[Q(\lambda_\alpha)]^*F(\lambda_\alpha)P_\alpha F(\lambda_\alpha)Q(\lambda_\alpha)=\sum_{\alpha\in A}[\xi(\lambda_\alpha)]^*P_\alpha\xi(\lambda_\alpha).$$

Hence, the $Nm\times Nm$ matrix $\mathcal{T}$ is degenerate iff there exists ξ such that $P_\alpha\xi(\lambda_\alpha)=0$ for all $\alpha\in A$ (recall that $P_\alpha^0\xi(\lambda_\alpha)=0$ holds true for all $\alpha\notin A$ by the construction). $\square$

B. Appendix. Three classical choices of additional spectral data in the scalar case.

In the scalar case, it is well known that the Dirichlet spectrum $\sigma(q)=\{\lambda_n(q)\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$ determines only "one half" of the potential q. Thus, in other to determine q uniquely, one needs either to assume that some partial information about q is known or to consider some additional spectral data besides $\sigma(q)$. Note that there are two classical assumptions about the potential that make the knowledge of the spectrum sufficient: symmetry $q(x)\equiv q(1-x)$ (see, e.g., [PT87]) or the knowledge of $q(x)$ as $x\in[0,\frac12]$ (the Hochstadt-Lieberman theorem [HL78], see also [GS00], [Ho05], [MP05]). Also, there are several classical choices of additional spectral data:

(1) The second spectrum. This setup goes back to the original paper of Borg [Bo46]. The most natural choice is the spectrum $\{\mu_n(q)\}_{n=1}$ of the mixed problem
$$-y''+qy=\lambda y,\qquad y(0)=y'(1)=0.$$
Note that $\{\mu_n(q)\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}\cup\{\lambda_n(q)\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$ is the Dirichlet spectrum of the symmetric potential $q(2-x)\equiv q(x)$, $x\in[0,1]$, defined on the doubled interval $[0,2]$.

(2) The normalizing constants (firstly appeared in Marchenko's paper [Mar50])
$$[\alpha_n(q)]^{-1}=\left[\int_0^1\varphi^2(x,\lambda_n)dx\right]^{-1}=[\dot\varphi\varphi']^{-1}(1,\lambda_n)=-\frac{\chi'(0,\lambda_n)}{\dot\chi(0,\lambda_n)}=-\operatorname*{res}_{\lambda=\lambda_n}m(\lambda).$$

(3) The norming constants introduced by Trubowitz and co-authors (see [PT87])
$$\nu_n(q)=\log[(-1)^n\varphi'(1,\lambda_n)]=\log\left[(-1)^{n-1}\frac{\varphi(\cdot,\lambda_n)}{\chi(\cdot,\lambda_n)}\right].$$

It is quite well known in the folklore that the characterization problems in the setups (1)-(3) are equivalent. Unfortunately, we do not know the good reference for this fact. So, the main purpose of this Appendix is to give the short proof of these equivalences (note that our arguments are quite similar to [Lev64]). For the simplicity, we assume that $q\in L^2(0,1)$, $\int_0^1 q(x)dx=0$, i.e., $\{\lambda_n(q)-\pi^2n^2\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}\in\ell^2$ (the similar arguments work well for other classes of potentials and corresponding classes of spectral data).

Note that
$$\mu_1<\lambda_1<\mu_2<\lambda_2<\mu_2<\ldots\quad\text{and}\quad \mu_n=\pi^2(n-\tfrac12)^2+O(1)\ \text{ as }\ n\to\infty. \tag{B.1}$$
Also, the Hadamard factorization implies
$$f(\lambda)=\varphi(1,\lambda)=\prod_{m=1}^{+\infty}\frac{\lambda_m-\lambda}{\pi^2m^2}\qquad\text{and}\qquad g(\lambda)=\varphi'(1,\lambda)=\prod_{m=1}^{+\infty}\frac{\mu_m-\lambda}{\pi^2(m+\frac12)^2}. \tag{B.2}$$
Recall that we write $a_n=b_n+\ell^2_k$ iff $\{n^k|a_n-b_n|\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}\in\ell^2$.

Proposition B.1. *Let $\lambda_n=\pi^2n^2+\ell^2$, (B.1) hold and $f(\lambda)$, $g(\lambda)$ be given by (B.2). Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) The asymptotics $\mu_n=\pi^2(n-\frac12)^2+\ell^2$ hold true.

(2) The asymptotics $\alpha_n=g(\lambda_n)\dot f(\lambda_n)=(2\pi^2n^2)^{-1}(1+\ell^2_1)$ hold true.

(3) The asymptotics $\nu_n=\log[(-1)^ng(\lambda_n)]=\ell^2_1$ hold true.

Proof. We start with the equivalence (2) ⇔ (3). Denote $\widetilde{\lambda}_n=\pi^{-2}\lambda_n-n^2=O(1)$ as $n\to\infty$. Then

$$\dot f(\lambda_n)=-\frac{1}{\pi^2n^2}\prod_{m\ne n}\frac{\lambda_m-\lambda_n}{\pi^2m^2}=\frac{(-1)^n}{2\pi^2n^2}\prod_{m\ne n}\frac{\lambda_m-\lambda_n}{\pi^2(m^2-n^2)}=\frac{(-1)^n}{2\pi^2n^2}\prod_{m\ne n}\biggl[1+\frac{\widetilde{\lambda}_m-\widetilde{\lambda}_n}{m^2-n^2}\biggr].$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\log\prod_{m\ne n}\biggl[1+\frac{\widetilde{\lambda}_m-\widetilde{\lambda}_n}{m^2-n^2}\biggr]&=\sum_{m\ne n}\biggl[\frac{\widetilde{\lambda}_m-\widetilde{\lambda}_n}{m^2-n^2}+O\Bigl(\frac{1}{(m^2-n^2)^2}\Bigr)\biggr]\\&=\sum_{m\ne n}\frac{\widetilde{\lambda}_m-\widetilde{\lambda}_n}{m^2-n^2}+O\Bigl(\frac{1}{n^2}\Bigr)=\sum_{m\ne n}\frac{\widetilde{\lambda}_m}{m^2-n^2}+O\Bigl(\frac{1}{n^2}\Bigr).\end{aligned}$$

Then, it immediately follows from $(\widetilde{\lambda}_n)_{n=1}^{+\infty}\in\ell^2$ and simple properties of the discrete Hilbert transform (see Lemma B.2 (ii) below) that $\dot f(\lambda_n)=(-1)^n(2\pi^2n^2)^{-1}(1+\ell^2_1)$. Thus, (2) ⇔ (3). The proof of the equivalence (1) ⇔ (3) is similar. Indeed,

$$g(\lambda_n)=\prod_{m=1}^{+\infty}\frac{\mu_m-\lambda_n}{\pi^2(m-\frac12)^2}=(-1)^n\prod_{m=1}^{+\infty}\frac{\mu_m-\lambda_n}{\pi^2\left((m-\frac12)^2-n^2\right)}=(-1)^n\prod_{m=1}^{+\infty}\biggl[1+\frac{\widetilde{\mu}_m-\widetilde{\lambda}_n}{(m-\frac12)^2-n^2}\biggr],$$

where $\widetilde{\mu}_m=\pi^{-2}\mu_m-(m+\frac12)^2=O(1)$ as $m\to\infty$. As above,

$$\log[(-1)^ng(\lambda_n)]=\sum_{m=1}^{+\infty}\frac{\widetilde{\mu}_m-\widetilde{\lambda}_n}{(m-\frac12)^2-n^2}+O\Bigl(\frac{1}{n^2}\Bigr)=\sum_{m=1}^{+\infty}\frac{\widetilde{\mu}_m}{(m-\frac12)^2-n^2}+O\Bigl(\frac{1}{n^2}\Bigr)$$

and the equivalence (1) ⇔ (3) follows by Lemma B.2 (i). □

Lemma B.2. *(i) The linear operator* $(a_m)_{m=1}^{+\infty}\mapsto(b_n)_{n=1}^{+\infty}$, *where*

$$b_n=\frac{1}{2\pi n}\sum_{m=1}^{+\infty}\frac{a_m}{n^2-(m-\frac12)^2}=\frac{1}{\pi}\sum_{m=1}^{+\infty}\biggl[\frac{a_m}{n-m+\frac12}+\frac{a_m}{n-(1-m)+\frac12}\biggr],$$

is an isometry in ℓ^2.
(ii) The linear operator $(a_m)_{m=1}^{+\infty}\mapsto(b_n)_{n=1}^{+\infty}$, *where*

$$b_n=\frac{1}{2n}\sum_{m=1}^{+\infty}\frac{a_m}{n^2-m^2}=\sum_{m=1}^{+\infty}\biggl[\frac{a_m}{n-m}+\frac{a_m}{n-(-m)}\biggr],$$

is bounded in ℓ^2.

Proof. Both results easily follows by the Fourier transform and the identities (in $L^2(\mathbb{T})$)

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty}\frac{\zeta^k}{k+\frac12}=\frac{\pi i}{\sqrt{\zeta}}=\pi ie^{-\frac{i\phi}{2}}\qquad\text{and}\qquad\sum_{k\ne0}\frac{\zeta^k}{k}=-i(\phi-\pi),$$

where $\zeta=e^{i\phi}\ne1$, $\phi\in(0,2\pi)$. □

Remark B.3. *The similar technique can be applied for other inverse problems in order to derive the characterization of some additional spectral parameters (e.g., similar to* $\alpha_n(q)$*) from the characterization of other parameters (e.g., similar to* $\nu_n(q)$*). In general, these characterizations may differ from each other substantially, see* [CK07].

References

[AM63] Agranovich, Z. S.; Marchenko, V. A. The inverse problem of scattering theory. Translated from the Russian by B. D. Seckler. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York-London 1963 xiii+291 pp.

[Bo46] Borg, G. Eine Umkehrung der Sturm-Liouvilleschen Eigenwertaufgabe. Bestimmung der Differentialgleichung durch die Eigenwerte. (German) Acta Math. 78, (1946). 1–96.

[CD76] Calogero, F.; Degasperis, A. Nonlinear evolution equations solvable by the inverse spectral transform. I. Nuovo Cimento B (11) 32 (1976), no. 2, 201–242.

[CD77] Calogero, F.; Degasperis, A. Nonlinear evolution equations solvable by the inverse spectral transform. II. Nuovo Cimento B (11) 39 (1977), no. 1, 1–54.

[Car02] Carlson, R. An inverse problem for the matrix Schrodinger equation. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 267 (2002), no. 2, 564–575.

[CHGL00] Clark, S.; Gesztesy, F.; Holden, H.; Levitan, B. M. Borg-type theorems for matrix-valued Schrodinger operators. J. Differential Equations 167 (2000), no. 1, 181–210.

[CKK04] Chelkak, D.; Kargaev, P.; Korotyaev, E. Inverse problem for harmonic oscillator perturbed by potential, characterization. Comm. Math. Phys. 249 (2004), no. 1, 133–196.

[CK06a] Chelkak, D.; Korotyaev, E. Spectral estimates for Schrödinger operators with periodic matrix potentials on the real line. Int. Math. Res. Not. 2006, Art. ID 60314, 41 pp.

[CK06b] Chelkak, D.; Korotyaev, E. Parametrization of the isospectral set for the vector-valued Sturm-Liouville problem. J. Funct. Anal. 241 (2006), no. 1, 359–373.

[CK07] Chelkak, D.; Korotyaev, E. The inverse problem for perturbed harmonic oscillator on the half-line with a Dirichlet boundary condition. Ann. Henri Poincare 8 (2007), no. 6, 1115–1150.

[ChSh97] Chern, Hua-Huai; Shen, Chao-Liang On the n-dimensional Ambarzumyan's theorem. Inverse Problems 13 (1997), no. 1, 15–18.

[DT84] Dahlberg, B. E. J.; Trubowitz, E. The inverse Sturm-Liouville problem. III. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 37 (1984), no. 2, 255–267.

[Di99] Dineen, S. Complex analysis on infinite-dimensional spaces. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 1999. xvi+543 pp.

[FM76] Flaschka, H.; McLaughlin, D. W. Canonically conjugate variables for the Korteweg-de Vries equation and the Toda lattice with periodic boundary conditions. Progr. Theoret. Phys. 55 (1976), no. 2, 438–456.

[FY01] Freiling, G.; Yurko, V. Inverse Sturm-Liouville problems and their applications. Nova Science Publishers, Inc., Huntington, NY, 2001. x+356 pp.

[GL51] Gel'fand, I. M.; Levitan, B. M. On the determination of a differential equation from its spectral function. (Russian) Izvestiya Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Mat. 15, (1951). 309–360. English Translation: Gel'fand, I. M.; Levitan, B. M. On the determination of a differential equation from its spectral function. Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) 1 (1955), 253–304.

[Ges07] Gesztesy, F. Gesztesy, F. Inverse spectral theory as influenced by Barry Simon. Spectral theory and mathematical physics: a Festschrift in honor of Barry Simon's 60th birthday, 741–820, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 76, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.

[GS00] Gesztesy, F.; Simon, B. Inverse spectral analysis with partial information on the potential. II. The case of discrete spectrum. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 352 (2000), no. 6, 2765–2787.

[GR88] Guillot J.G., Ralston J.V.: Inverse spectral theory for a singular Sturm-Liouville operator on $[0,1]$, J. Diff. Eq., 76 (1988), 353–373.

[HL78] Hochstadt, H.; Lieberman, B. An inverse Sturm-Liouville problem with mixed given data. SIAM J. Appl. Math. 34 (1978), no. 4, 676–680.

[Ho05] Horvath, M. Inverse spectral problems and closed exponential systems. Ann. of Math. (2) 162 (2005), no. 2, 885–918.

[IMT84] Isaacson, E.; McKean, H.; Trubowitz, E.: The inverse Sturm-Liouville problem. II. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 37(1984), no. 1, 1–11.

[IT83] Isaacson, E.; Trubowitz, E. The inverse Sturm-Liouville problem. I. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 36 (1983), no. 6, 767–783.

[JL98a] Jodeit, M. Jr.; Levitan, B. M. Isospectral vector-valued Sturm-Liouville problems. Lett. Math. Phys. 43 (1998), no. 2, 117–122.

[JL98b] Jodeit, Max, Jr.; Levitan, B. M. A characterization of some even vector-valued Sturm-Liouville problems. Mat. Fiz. Anal. Geom. 5 (1998), no. 3-4, 166–181.

[Ko88] Koosis, P. The logarithmic integral. I. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 12. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988. xvi+606 pp.

[Kr51] Kreĭn, M. G. Solution of the inverse Sturm-Liouville problem. (Russian) Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) 76, (1951). 21–24.

[Kr53] Kreĭn, M. G. On the transfer function of a one-dimensional boundary problem of the second order. (Russian) Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) 88, (1953). 405–408.

[Kr54] Kreĭn, M. G. On a method of effective solution of an inverse boundary problem. (Russian) Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) 94, (1954). 987–990.

[Le40] Levinson, N. Gap and Density Theorems. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, v. 26. American Mathematical Society, New York, 1940.

[Le49] Levinson, N. The inverse Sturm-Liouville problem. Mat. Tidsskr. B. 1949, (1949). 25–30.

[Lev64] Levitan, B. M. Determination of a Sturm-Liouville differential equation in terms of two spectra. (Russian) Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 28 (1964) 63–78.

[Lev87] Levitan, B. M. Inverse Sturm-Liouville problems. Translated from the Russian by O. Efimov. VSP, Zeist, 1987. x+240 pp.

[LG64] Levitan, B. M.; Gasymov, M. G. Determination of a differential equation by two spectra. (Russian) Uspehi Mat. Nauk 19 1964 no. 2 (116), 3–63.

[MP05] Makarov, N.; Poltoratski, A. Meromorphic inner functions, Toeplitz kernels and the uncertainty principle. Perspectives in analysis, 185–252, Math. Phys. Stud., 27, Springer, Berlin, 2005.

[Mal05] Malamud, M. M. Uniqueness of the matrix Sturm-Liouville equation given a part of the monodromy matrix, and Borg type results. Sturm-Liouville theory, 237–270, Birkhauser, Basel, 2005.

[Mar50] Marčenko, V. A. Concerning the theory of a differential operator of the second order. (Russian) Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR. (N.S.) 72, (1950). 457–460.

[Mar86] Marchenko, V. A. Sturm-Liouville operators and applications. Translated from the Russian by A. Iacob. Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 22. Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 1986. xii+367 pp.

[MO75] Marčenko, V. A.; Ostrovskiĭ, I. V. A characterization of the spectrum of the Hill operator. (Russian) Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 97(139) (1975), no. 4(8), 540–606, 633–634.

[MT81] McKean, H. P.; Trubowitz, E. The spectral class of the quantum-mechanical harmonic oscillator. Comm. Math. Phys. 82 (1981/82), no. 4, 471–495.

[Ol85] Olmedilla, E. Inverse scattering transform for general matrix Schrödinger operators and the related symplectic structure. Inverse Problems 1 (1985), no. 3, 219–236.

[PT87] Pöschel, J.; Trubowitz, E. Inverse spectral theory. Pure and Applied Mathematics, 130. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1987. x+192 pp.

[SP04] Samsonov, B. F.; Pecheritsin, A. A. Chains of Darboux transformations for the matrix Schrödinger equation. J. Phys. A 37 (2004), no. 1, 239–250.

[Sh01] Shen, Chao-Liang Some inverse spectral problems for vectorial Sturm-Liouville equations. Inverse Problems 17 (2001), no. 5, 1253–1294.

[Yur06] Yurko, V. Inverse problems for matrix Sturm-Liouville operators. Russ. J. Math. Phys. 13 (2006), no. 1, 111–118.

[ZF71] Zaharov, V. E.; Faddeev, L. D. The Korteweg-de Vries equation is a fully integrable Hamiltonian system. (Russian) Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. 5 (1971), no. 4, 18–27.